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## INTRODUCTORY SECTION



To the Board of Directors of the Multnomah Education Service District and Residents of Multnomah County, Oregon:

Oregon Municipal Law requires that an independent audit be made of all District funds within six months following the close of the fiscal year. Pursuant to this requirement, the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report of Multnomah Education Service District (the District or Multnomah ESD or MESD) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017 is hereby submitted.

The District's Business Services Department prepared this report, and management assumes the responsibility for the completeness, reliability, and accuracy of all the information presented.

The District's management has established a comprehensive internal control framework that is designed both to protect the assets from loss, theft, or misuse and to compile sufficient reliable information for the preparation of the District's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Since the cost of internal controls should not outweigh their benefits, the District's comprehensive framework of internal controls has been designed to provide reasonable rather than absolute assurance that the financial statements will be free from material misstatement. As management, we assert that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

## Independent Audit

The provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes require an independent audit of the financial records and fiscal affairs of the District. The auditors selected by the Board of Education, Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, LLP, have completed their audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, have issued an unmodified ("clean") opinion on the MESD's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2017. The independent auditor's report is located at the front of the financial section of this report.

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards require state and local governments that expend $\$ 750,000$ or more in federal assistance in a year have a special form of audit conducted for that year. MESD has issued a report on these requirements. Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, LLP have also provided various required reports. These reports are located in the Single Audit Section of this report.

## Management's Discussion and Analysis

GAAP requires that management provide a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the basic financial statements in the form of Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD\&A). This letter of transmittal is designed to complement the MD\&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The District's MD\&A can be found immediately following the independent auditor's report.

Superintendent Sam Breyer<br>Board of Directors Jessica Arzate • Mary Botkin • Kristin Cornuelle • Siobhan Burke • Michael Durrow $\bullet$ Susie Jones $\bullet$ Helen Ying

## Profile of the District

Mission Statement: We support all students to achieve excellence.

## General Background

Education Service Districts (ESDs) originated in Oregon's first laws establishing a general system of common schools. The Multnomah ESD evolved from the county school superintendent's office, first established in 1854. Responsibilities of that office were transferred from county government to an independent district in 1957. The state legislature formally established the ESD in 1963. In 1978, the name of the district was changed from Intermediate Education District to Multnomah Education Service District.

Throughout the history of Oregon's regional services system, local governance and state statutes concerning the mission of ESDs has remained somewhat constant: "Education Service Districts assist school districts and the State of Oregon achieving Oregon's education goals by providing excellent and equitable educational opportunities for all Oregon public school students." Today, each ESD provides regional services to its component school districts, primarily in areas that the school districts alone would not be able to adequately and equitably provide. Currently there are 19 ESDs in Oregon serving 36 counties.

Local district programs and services are provided by two means. Non-resolution programs are funded via grants or fee for service contracts. Resolution programs are those that, through a resolution, are authorized by at least two-thirds of the school boards representing a majority of total county students. Resolution programs are funded from property taxes and state school fund revenue. The State allocates support by granting ESDs a percentage of the total amount available for K-12 school funding, with each ESD receiving a fixed share of that total based on the total state education revenues of its component school districts.

Senate Bill 250, adopted in the 2011 Legislative Session, substantially changed how ESDs operate. The bill allows component school districts in specific Education Service Districts, including MESD, to opt out of ESD services and provides for school districts to receive funding for ESD services directly from the state. In 2016-17, none of the MESD's local districts chose to opt out.

## District Structure

Multnomah ESD is governed by an elected seven-member board consisting of five directors representing specific geographic zones in Multnomah County and two at-large. The Board of Directors establishes and oversees policies, employs staff and dedicates resources. It is the chief governing body and is exclusively responsible for its public policies and accountable for fiscal oversight. Board members serve four-year terms without compensation and can be re-elected. The chief administrative officer of the District is the superintendent who is appointed by the Board.

## Geography and Population

The Multnomah ESD serves the second-largest student population in Oregon. The eight school districts (referred to as "component districts") in MESD's region are diverse and include inner city, suburban and rural schools.

## MESD Component Districts



| District | Total Schools | Total Enrollment | District | Total Schools | Total Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centennial SD 28J | 10 | 6,703 | Parkrose SD 3 | 6 | 3,306 |
| Corbett SD 39 | 3 | 1,204 | Portland SD 1J | 92 | 46,989 |
| David Douglas SD 40 | 16 | 10,646 | Reynolds SD 7 | 19 | 11,427 |
| Gresham-Barlow SD 10J | 20 | 10,901 | Riverdale SD 51J | 2 | 541 |

Within these districts are 168 schools with 91,087 students over a geographic area that stretches from Portland's west hills to the foothills of the Cascades, and from the Columbia River on the north to the Clackamas County line on the south. An estimated population of 793,000 live within the Multnomah ESD boundary which includes Multnomah County and extends into Clackamas and Washington counties along school district boundaries.

## Services Provided (Local Service Plan)

Every Oregon ESD, working with their component school districts, must annually develop a Local Service Plan which determines how the State School Funds (SSF) will be used. Ninety percent of the SSF revenue received by an ESD is subject to this process. The Local Service Plan determines programs and services that the ESD will offer its component districts for the following fiscal year. In accordance with Oregon Revised Statute 334.177, the remaining ten percent of the SSF revenue is used for the general operating costs of the ESD.

The Local Service Plan must include services from at least the following categories: special education, technology, school improvement, and administrative support. The plan may also include any "entrepreneurial services" that the ESD intends to offer to any entity that is not a component school district either outside of the ESD boundary or inside the ESD boundary.

Under the Resolution Process, at least two-thirds of the school districts in an ESD, representing more than one-half of the student population, must approve the Local Service Plan.

MESD provides the following services to its component school districts:

Special Education Services provides services to the component districts as well as to districts outside of Multnomah County. Special education partners with community organizations and the component districts to ensure that every child with a disability is provided the best educational opportunities available.

School Health Services provides and coordinates specialized services that support the educational experience for students enrolled in our component districts as well as some Clackamas County districts. The department works to ensure that all areas of health are supported for our students' physical, emotional and mental well-being. Together a connection is formed between health and education.

Instructional Services provides instruction for students enrolled in regional programs, instructional support for schools, and professional development for educators on a variety of topics. These programs offer a variety of enrichment and enhancement opportunities which complement instruction within the component districts as well as to several districts in Clackamas County. Students in the District's educational programs receive comprehensive educational support, social services, career training, college assistance, environmental education, and specialized education services. These programs emphasize compassion for others, nonviolent ways of settling disputes, resiliency to handle life's challenges, and preparation for college, work, parenthood and civic responsibility.

Technology Services provides services both to the component districts through resolution and contracted services as well as internally to the District. The goal of Technology Services is to deliver administrative computer technology and support designed to increase the component district's efficiency and improve internal and external communications. The MESD's Technology Department has agreed to work together with three other ESDs (Columbia Gorge ESD, Northwest Regional ESD, and Willamette ESD) to provide services regionally at a lower cost. The name of this cooperative relationship is the Cascade Technology Alliance. The services fall into four categories: Student Information Services, Business Systems Support, Network Services, and Internal Agency Support.

Administrative and Support Services provides services for component school districts, including but not limited to services designed to consolidate component school district business functions, liaison services between the Department of Education and component school districts, registration of children being taught by private teachers, parents or legal guardians pursuant to ORS 339.035 , and substitute teacher registration and administration. Other support services include home school registration and courier services.

## Budget Process and Budgetary Level of Control

The District is required by the State of Oregon to adopt an annual budget for all funds subject to the requirements of Local Budget Law as outlined in the 2011 Oregon Revised Statutes 297.405 to 297.555 and 297.990. The budget for each individual fund is a plan for the financial operations to be conducted during the coming fiscal year and is adopted annually, prior to July 1, by the Board after certification by the Multnomah County Tax Supervising and Conservation Commission (TSCC). The budget also provides the authority to levy property taxes. After adoption, the budget may be amended through procedures specified in State statute and Board policy.

The Budget Committee consists of the seven members of the MESD Board, along with an equal number of representatives, plus one, who are appointed by the MESD Board from among members of component district boards or designees of component district boards. The Superintendent is designated as budget
officer and he/she or designee prepares the budget document and submits it to the Budget Committee for approval before presentation to the TSCC and the Board. Activities for all governmental funds are included in the annual appropriated budget. For each fund, the expenditures are appropriated by the following major functions:

- Instruction
- Enterprise \& Community Services
- Debt Service
- Fund Transfers
- Support Services
- Facilities Acquisitions \& Construction
- Other Uses (Transit Payments)
- Contingencies

District management may realign appropriation within a major function; however, transfers between major functions, even within the same fund, require Board approval. More information about the budgetary process can be found in the notes to the basic financial statements (see note I.F).

## Cash Management

Through the year, cash not required for current operations is invested in the State of Oregon Local Government Investment Pool, U.S. Treasury securities and demand deposits.

## FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL CONDITION

The information presented in the financial statements is perhaps best understood when it is considered from the broader perspective of the specific environment within which the District operates.

## Local Economy

The District is primarily encompassed within Multnomah County. It is located in northwestern Oregon at the confluence of the Columbia and Willamette rivers, approximately 110 river miles and 80 highway miles from the Pacific Ocean. The County covers 465 square miles, and serves a population of 791,000 residents. The cities of Portland and Gresham are the largest incorporated cities in the County.

The Portland metropolitan area is the financial, trade, transportation and service center for Oregon, southwest Washington State and the Columbia River basin. Its manufacturing base includes electronics, machinery, transportation equipment, and fabricated metals. Between June 2016 and June 2017, area employment increased by 36,200 jobs, or 3.2 percent.

Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboror, OR-WA MSA
Official Oregon Series
Nonfarm Payroll Employment (not seasonally adjusted)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Change | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private |  |  |  |  |
| Mining and logging | 1,400 | 1,300 | 100 | 7.7\% |
| Construction | 68,600 | 61,800 | 6,800 | 11.0\% |
| Manufacturing | 125,400 | 123,300 | 2,100 | 1.7\% |
| Trade, transportation, and utilities | 216,500 | 210,200 | 6,300 | 3.0\% |
| Information | 25,300 | 25,100 | 200 | 0.8\% |
| Financial activities | 69,100 | 67,900 | 1,200 | 1.8\% |
| Professional and business services | 180,300 | 177,000 | 3,300 | 1.9\% |
| Education and health services | 169,600 | 161,100 | 8,500 | 5.3\% |
| Leisure and hospitality | 126,500 | 121,100 | 5,400 | 4.5\% |
| Other services | 41,600 | 41,900 | -300 | -0.7\% |
| Government | 159,500 | 156,900 | 2,600 | 1.7\% |
| Total Nonfarm Payroll | 1,183,800 | 1,147,600 | 36,200 | 3.2\% |

Source: Oregon Employment Department (qualityinfo.org) as of August 25, 2017
During the past nine years, the area's unemployment rate has made a gradual decrease. As of June 30, 2017 the Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro MSA unemployment rate was $3.9 \%$, down from $5.1 \%$ a year ago. The unemployment rate for the area is about even with the State of Oregon ( $4.0 \%$ ) and lower than the national average ( $4.5 \%$ ). The following chart shows the 10 -year rate history.

| Area Unemployment Rates for past 10 Years <br> (at June 30) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.012.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2. |
| 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| -Oregon | 5.9 | 11.7 | 10.6 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.0 |
| - US | 5.7 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.5 |
| —PMSA* | 5.6 | 11.4 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 3.9 |

* The Portland metro area includes all of Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Washington and Yamhill counties in Oregon and Clark and Skamania counties in Washington State.
Source: Oregon Employment Department (qualityinfo.org) as if August 25, 2017


## Long-Term Financial Planning

The Multnomah ESD is funded primarily through local property taxes and by the State of Oregon based on the state school formula. State funding is heavily dependent on personal income tax collections, and is subject to fluctuation based on the overall economic status of the state.


#### Abstract

AWARDS

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) awarded a Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting to Multnomah Education Service District for its Comprehensive Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2016. This was the second year that the District received this prestigious award. In order to be awarded a Certificate of Achievement, the District published an easily readable and efficiently organized Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. This report satisfied both generally accepted accounting principles and applicable legal requirements.

A Certificate of Achievement is valid for a period of one year only. We believe our current Comprehensive Annual Financial Report continues to meet the Certificate of Achievement Program's requirements and we are submitting it to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another certificate.

In addition, the Association of School Business Officials International (ASBO) awarded its Certificate of Excellence in Financial Reporting to Multnomah Education Service District for its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the year ended June 30, 2016. This was the second year that the District received this prestigious award.

Receiving this Award is recognition that the District has met the highest standards of excellence in school financial reporting as adopted by ASBO. The District believes that the current Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, which will be submitted to ASBO for review, will also conform to these standards.
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| Position |  | Board Member |  | Represented Zone |
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*On May 16, 2017 the following Directors were elected for terms beginning July 1, 2017

| Position | Board Member |  | Represented Zone |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One | Susie Jones |  | East Multnomah County |  |
| Two | Helen Ying |  | At Large |  |
| Three | Mary Botkin |  | Central Portland | $6 / 30 / 2021$ |
| Four | Jessica Arzate |  | Mid-Multnomah County | $6 / 30 / 2021$ |
|  |  |  | $6 / 30 / 2021$ |  |

**On July 18, 2017 position six was vacated due to Board member moving out of District. The following Director was appointed for the remainder of the term beginning August 15, 2017.

| Position | $\underline{\text { Board Member }}$ | Represented Zone |  | Term Ends <br> Six |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kristin Cornuelle | At Large | $6 / 30 / 2019$ |  |  |

## ADMINISTRATION
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## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors
Multnomah Education Service District
Portland, Oregon

## REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Multnomah Education Service District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30,2017 , and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents.

## MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about Allance have access to more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM ${ }^{* w}$ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (Continued) 

## Board of Directors

Multnomah Education Service District

## OPINIONS

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District, as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## OTHER MATTERS

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the required supplementary information, such as Management's Discussion and Analysis, Schedule of Funding Progress \& Employer Contributions-Other Post-Employment Benefits, Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Asset and Liability, Schedule of the District's Contributions, and Notes to Required Supplementary Information, as listed in the Table of Contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

The accompanying budgetary comparison information for the Operating Fund, Resolution Services Fund, and Contracted Services Fund, as listed in the Table of Contents as Required Supplementary Information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of basic financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the required budgetary comparison information for the Operating Fund, Resolution Services Fund, and Contracted Services Fund is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (Continued) 

## Board of Directors

Multnomah Education Service District

## OTHER MATTERS (Continued)

## Other Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The other schedules and statements, listed in the Table of Contents as Other Supplementary Information, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The Other Supplementary Information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Other Supplementary Information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The Introductory and Statistical Sections, as listed in the Table of Contents, have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

## REPORTS ON OTHER LEGAL AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 21, 2017 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

## Other Information as Required by the Oregon Department of Education

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Other Information as Required by the Oregon Department of Education, as listed in the Table of Contents, is not a required part of the basic financial statements and is presented for the purposes of additional analysis, as required by the Oregon Department of Education. We have applied certain limited procedures to this other information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an
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## Other Information as Required by the Oregon Department of Education (Continued)

opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Reporting Required by Oregon Minimum Standards

In accordance with Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations, we have also issued our report dated November 21, 2017, on our consideration of the District's compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, including the provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes as specified in Oregon Administrative Rules. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on compliance.

## TALBOT, KORVOLA \& WARWICK, LLP



Timothy R/Gillette, Partner
Lake Oswego, Oregon
November 21, 2017

## Management's Discussion and Analysis

As management of Multnomah Education Service District, we offer readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017.

## Financial Highlights

- In the government-wide statements, the liabilities and deferred inflows of the District exceeded its assets and deferred outflows at June 30, 2017 by $\$ 23.4$ million. Of this amount, $\$ 8.3$ million represents the District's investment in capital assets net of related debt, $\$ 3.3$ million is restricted, and the remainder is an unrestricted net deficit of $\$ 35.0$ million.
- The District's ending net position decreased by $\$ 2.3$ million, primarily due to changes in the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System net pension liability.
- The District's governmental funds report combined ending fund balance of $\$ 9.3$ million, a decrease of $\$ 1.7$ million. Approximately 14 percent of this total amount, $\$ 1.3$ million, is assigned to help support future program services provided to school districts and approximately 33 percent, $\$ 3.1$ million, is available for the District's operating needs. The remaining amount is either restricted by grants and resolution funding, or is committed for future facilities and technology projects.


## Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements consist of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the basic financial statements. This report also contains required and other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The Statement of Net Position presents information on all of the assets and deferred outflows of resources and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources of the District at year-end, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Activities presents information showing how the net position of the District changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

In both statements, the District's activities are shown in one category as governmental activities. The governmental activities of the District include services related to K -12 education. These activities are primarily supported through property taxes, the Oregon's State School Fund, and other intergovernmental revenues.

The government-wide financial statements begin on page 13 of this report.

Fund financial statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the District can be divided into two categories: governmental funds and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the governmentwide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. The Governmental Fund Balance Sheet and the Governmental Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The MESD maintains six individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund Balance Sheet and in the governmental fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances for the General Fund, the Resolution Services Fund, and the Contracted Services Fund which are all considered major funds and in the Debt Service Fund which is considered a nonmajor fund. For reporting purposes, the General Fund is a combination of the operating fund, the risk management reserve fund, and the facilities and equipment reserve fund. Individual fund data for the General Fund in the form of combining statements is presented elsewhere in this report.

The MESD adopts an annual appropriated budget for each of its governmental funds. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for each fund individually in either required or supplementary information to demonstrate compliance with the fund level budgets.

The basic governmental fund financial statements begin on page 15 of this report.
Fiduciary Funds. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statement because the resources of those funds are not available to support the MESD's own programs. The MESD maintains one fiduciary fund which reports resources held by the District in a custodial capacity for component districts. The District also used this fund to account for resources for the Oregon Association of Education Service Districts (OAESD) as fiscal agent through September 2016.

The basic fiduciary fund financial statements are on pages 19 and 64 of this report.
Notes to the basic financial statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements begin on page 21 of this report.

Other information. Required Supplementary Information (RSI) is located directly after the notes to the basic financial statements and represents the required comparison of the budget and actual results on the District's budgetary basis for the Operating Fund, the Resolution Services Fund and the Contracted Services Fund. This section also includes schedules disclosing the District's PERS net pension liability and PERS contributions; and, the District's other post-employment healthcare benefits obligations (OPEB). This information begins on page 47 of this report.

Other Supplementary Information (OSI) includes combining statements for the general governmental funds, budgetary comparison schedules for the general and nonmajor governmental funds, and other financial schedules. Other supplementary information begins on page 57 of this report.

## Government-wide Financial Analysis

As noted earlier, net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the MESD, liabilities and deferred inflows exceeded assets and deferred outflows by $\$ 23$ million at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

## Multnomah Education Service District's Comparative Statement of Net Position

|  | June 30, 2017 |  | June 30, 2016 |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current and other assets | \$ | 17,954,432 | \$ | 16,980,109 | \$ | 974,323 |
| Net capital assets |  | 8,304,254 |  | 8,493,062 |  | $(188,808)$ |
| Total assets |  | 26,258,686 |  | 25,473,171 |  | 785,515 |
| Deferred Outflows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pension contributions after measurement date |  | 489,713 |  | 801,756 |  | $(312,043)$ |
| Deferred pension differences |  | 9,154,568 |  | 1,014,468 |  | 8,140,100 |
| Total deferred outflows |  | 9,644,281 |  | 1,816,224 |  | 7,828,057 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  | 8,262,639 |  | 6,001,982 |  | 2,260,657 |
| Non-current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other post-employment benefits |  | 1,323,870 |  | 1,245,062 |  | 78,808 |
| Net pension liability |  | 19,559,260 |  | 7,488,811 |  | 12,070,449 |
| Debt service due in more than one year |  | 26,825,000 |  | 28,200,000 |  | (1,375,000) |
| Total liabilities |  | 55,970,769 |  | 42,935,855 |  | 13,034,914 |
| Deferred Inflows |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred pension differences |  | 3,366,717 |  | 5,466,050 |  | $(2,099,333)$ |
| Net position: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 8,304,254 |  | 7,993,062 |  | 311,192 |
| Restricted |  | 3,281,560 |  | 3,426,471 |  | $(144,911)$ |
| Unrestricted |  | $(35,020,333)$ |  | $(32,532,043)$ |  | $(2,488,290)$ |
| Total net position | \$ | $(23,434,519)$ | \$ | (21,112,510) | \$ | (2,322,009) |

A significant portion of the MESD's net position reflects its net investment in capital assets (e.g. buildings, vehicles, and equipment.) The MESD uses the capital assets to provide services to students and other

District residents; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the MESD's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

The next category of the MESD's net position represents resources that are subject to external restrictions on how they may be used. Restricted assets are mostly composed of remaining resolution dollars held for component districts and grant funding. The remaining deficit of $\$ 35$ million is unrestricted.

There were significant increases of $\$ 7.8$ million reported in deferred outflows and $\$ 13$ million reported in total liabilities. These increases are from the calculation of the pension liability for the District's proportionate share of the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (PERS).

Changes in net position. Governmental activities decreased the MESD's net position by $\$ 2.3$ million for the fiscal year 2016-2017.

## Multnomah Education Service District's Comparative Statement of Activities

| Revenues | June 30, 2017 |  | June 30, 2016 |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for services | \$ | 9,181,813 | \$ | 8,894,336 | \$ | 287,477 |
| Operating grants \& contributions |  | 10,837,110 |  | 11,174,844 |  | $(337,734)$ |
| General revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property taxes |  | 30,967,342 |  | 29,800,797 |  | 1,166,545 |
| State School Fund |  | 8,028,445 |  | 8,594,610 |  | $(566,165)$ |
| Earnings on investments |  | 140,582 |  | 82,524 |  | 58,058 |
| Miscellaneous revenues |  | 407,205 |  | 923,217 |  | $(516,012)$ |
| Total revenues |  | 59,562,497 |  | 59,470,328 |  | 92,169 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instruction |  | 15,463,643 |  | 14,303,404 |  | 1,160,239 |
| Support services |  | 27,070,464 |  | 28,690,506 |  | $(1,620,042)$ |
| Enterprise and community services |  | 3,031,475 |  | 5,074,232 |  | $(2,042,757)$ |
| Apportionment of funds by the ESD |  | 14,429,052 |  | 14,322,082 |  | 106,970 |
| Unallocated depreciation |  | 265,352 |  | 268,615 |  | $(3,263)$ |
| Interest on long-term debt |  | 1,624,520 |  | 1,692,997 |  | $(68,477)$ |
| Total expenses |  | 61,884,506 |  | 64,351,836 |  | (2,467,330) |
| Change in Net Position |  | $(2,322,009)$ |  | $(4,881,508)$ |  | 2,559,499 |
| Net Position - Beginning |  | $(21,112,510)$ |  | $(16,231,002)$ |  | $(4,881,508)$ |
| Net Position - Ending | \$ | $(24,434,519)$ | \$ | $(21,112,510)$ | \$ | $(2,322,009)$ |

A large fluctuation in expenses, approximately $\$ 3.7$ million decrease, is due to the recognition of the District's share of PERS pension liability and other PERS changes in FY 2016-17.

The increase in Instruction net of the PERS changes is primarily from payroll expenses in special programs such as special education, hospital programs, and youth corrections to meet the specific needs of students referred to the District.

## Financial Analysis of the District's Major Funds

As noted earlier, the MESD uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with financerelated legal requirements. The focus of the MESD's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of expendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the MESD's financial requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of the MESD's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

General Fund. The General Fund is composed of the Operating Fund and two reserve funds. The Operating Fund is principally supported by transfers from the Resolution Services Fund. The reserve funds are supported by internal transfers or payroll allocations. During the 2016-17 fiscal year, the fund balance decreased by $\$ 43$ thousand, or less than $1 \%$.

Resolution Services Fund. The Resolution Services Fund accounts for the revenues from property taxes and State School Fund (which together constitute "local revenues".) In accordance with Oregon statutes, $90 \%$ of these proceeds remain in the fund and are restricted for use by the MESD's eight component school districts while the remaining $10 \%$ are transferred to the Operating Fund to be used to fund supporting operations. During the 2016-17 fiscal year, the fund balance decreased by $\$ 88$ thousand. These funds are carried over for component districts to use for future services.

Contracted Services Fund. The Contracted Services Fund accounts for revenues from grants, state contracts, and revenues from local school districts for additional services beyond those purchased through the resolution services fund. During the 2016-17 fiscal year, the fund balance decreased by $\$ 1.7$ million. A significant portion of this decrease, $\$ 960$ thousand, is a result of actual net program costs above estimates for special programs. Factors contributing to higher net costs include less than projected revenue from student enrollment where classroom costs could not be reduced, the unanticipated need for high cost related services specific to individual students, and the use of staffing agencies for hard to fill positions. Other planned use of fund balance included a transfer of $\$ 251$ thousand to fund upgrades at Burlingame Creek School to support the expansion of the Arata Creek program, and a transfer of $\$ 114$ thousand for the purchase of 4 vans for the FLS program.

## Operating Fund Budgetary Highlights

The MESD continues to implement several efficiency and cost-cutting measures to reduce the operating expenses of the District. This resulted in an approximately $\$ 34$ thousand positive variance in fund balance from the budget despite lower than anticipated revenues.

## Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital Assets. The District's investment in capital assets includes buildings and improvements, site improvements, and vehicles and equipment. As of June 30, 2017 the District had invested $\$ 8.3$ million in capital assets, net of depreciation. Additional information on the District's capital assets can be found in Note III -D to the financial statements.

Long-Term Debt. At the end of the current fiscal year, the District has one bond issue, the OSBA Limited Tax Pension Obligations, Series 2004 bonds with a remaining balance of $\$ 28,200,000$ at June 30, 2017. These bonds were sold to fund the District's Public Employees Retirement System unfunded actuarial
liability. The source of funding to repay this debt is derived from charges to payroll which reduces the District's PERS contributions.

Further information on the District's long-term debt can be found in Note III -J to the Financial Statements.

## Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

A significant factor affecting the District is Property Taxes and State School Fund which make up $61 \%$ of its total revenues.

The District's PERS rates are calculated for a biennium. The fiscal year 2015-16 was the first year of the biennium and rates remained the same for 2016-17. PERS rates for this biennium were significantly lower than previous years due to PERS reforms at the state level. However, due to the Moro decision and low investment returns, PERS rates increased for the next biennium beginning in 2017-19.

| Net Employer Contribution PERS Rate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERS | OPSRP | OPSRP |
| PERS Rate | (Tier I/II) | General | Police \& Fire |
| $7-1-17$ to $6-30-19$ | $9.23 \%$ | $3.90 \%$ | $8.67 \%$ |
| $7-1-15$ to $6-30-17$ | $5.55 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ | $4.97 \%$ |
| $7-1-13$ to $6-30-15$ | $13.85 \%$ | $11.85 \%$ | $14.58 \%$ |

## Request for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Multnomah Education Service District's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in the report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to:

Director of Business Services
Multnomah Education Service District
11611 NE Ainsworth Circle
Portland, OR 97220

## Basic Financial Statements



# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Statement of Net Position 

June 30, 2017

|  | Governmental Activities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 4,439,028 |
| Property Taxes Receivable |  | 2,211,265 |
| Accounts Receivable |  | 11,303,077 |
| Prepaid Items |  | 1,062 |
| Capital Assets, Net of Depreciation |  | 8,304,254 |
| Total Assets |  | 26,258,686 |
| Deferred Outflows of Resources |  |  |
| Pension Contributions After Measurement Date |  | 489,713 |
| Deferred Pension Differences |  | 9,154,568 |
| Total Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | 9,644,281 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts Payable |  | 3,576,346 |
| Accrued Payroll \& Withholdings |  | 3,175,770 |
| Accrued Compensated Absences |  | 135,523 |
| Debt Service Due Within One Year |  | 1,375,000 |
| Non-Current Liabilities: |  |  |
| Other Post-Employment Benefits |  | 1,323,870 |
| Net Pension Liability |  | 19,559,260 |
| Debt Service Due in More Than One Year |  | 26,825,000 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 55,970,769 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |  |
| Deferred Pension Differences |  | 3,366,717 |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | 3,366,717 |
| Net Position |  |  |
| Net Investment in Capital Assets |  | 8,304,254 |
| Restricted for |  |  |
| Debt Service |  | 81,272 |
| Local Service Plan Services |  | 3,086,043 |
| Other Purposes |  | 114,245 |
| Unrestricted |  | $(35,020,333)$ |
| Total Net Position | \$ | $(23,434,519)$ |

## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| Functions / Programs | Expenses | Program Revenues |  | Net (Expense) <br> Revenue and Changes in Net Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Charges for Services | Operating Grants and Contributions |  |
| Instruction |  |  |  |  |
| Regular Programs | \$ 873,016 | \$ 184,761 | \$ 593,624 | \$ $(94,631)$ |
| Special Programs | 14,590,627 | 2,836,374 | 5,737,658 | $(6,016,595)$ |
| Support Services |  |  |  |  |
| Student Services | 14,874,409 | 4,093,728 | 1,560,809 | $(9,219,872)$ |
| Instructional Staff Services | 310,838 | 5,600 | 38,854 | $(266,384)$ |
| General Administration | 615,177 | - | 5,000 | $(610,177)$ |
| School Administration | 1,894,248 | 511,672 | 473,689 | $(908,887)$ |
| Business Services | 3,717,923 | 496,039 | 128,864 | $(3,093,020)$ |
| Central Activities | 5,657,869 | 511,389 | 19,794 | $(5,126,686)$ |
| Enterprise and Community Services |  |  |  |  |
| Food Services | 835,209 | 542,250 | 166,852 | $(126,107)$ |
| Community Services | 2,196,266 | - | 2,111,966 | $(84,300)$ |
| Apportionment of Funds | 14,429,052 | - | - | $(14,429,052)$ |
| Unallocated Depreciation* | 265,352 | - | - | $(265,352)$ |
| Interest on Long-Term Debt | 1,624,520 | - | - | $(1,624,520)$ |
| Total Governmental Activities | \$ 61,884,506 | \$ 9,181,813 | \$ 10,837,110 | $(41,865,583)$ |
| General Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes, Levied for General Purposes |  |  |  | 30,967,342 |
| State School Fund- General Support |  |  |  | 8,028,445 |
| Earnings on Investments |  |  |  | 140,582 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues |  |  |  | 407,205 |
| Total General Revenues |  |  |  | 39,543,574 |
| Change in Net Position |  |  |  | $(2,322,009)$ |
| Net Position - Beginning |  |  |  | $(21,112,510)$ |
| Net Position - Ending |  |  |  | \$ $(23,434,519)$ |

*Unallocated depreciation excludes direct depreciation expenses of the various programs.

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Balance Sheet <br> Governmental Funds <br> June 30, 2017 

|  | General |  | Resolution Services | Contracted Services | Non-major Fund Debt Service |  | Total Governmental Funds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 1,742,739 | \$ 2,615,017 | \$ | \$ | 81,272 | \$ | 4,439,028 |
| Property Taxes Receivable |  | - | 2,211,265 | - |  | - |  | 2,211,265 |
| Accounts Receivable |  | 271,212 | 455,635 | 10,576,230 |  | - |  | 11,303,077 |
| Due from Other Funds |  | 6,028,451 | - | - |  | - |  | 6,028,451 |
| Prepaid Items |  | 1,062 | - | - |  | - |  | 1,062 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 8,043,464 | \$ 5,281,917 | \$10,576,230 | \$ | 81,272 | \$ | 23,982,883 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable | \$ | 214,622 | \$ 258,409 | \$ 3,103,315 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,576,346 |
| Due to Other Funds |  | - | - | 6,028,451 |  | - |  | 6,028,451 |
| Accrued Payroll \& Withholdings |  | 3,175,770 | - | - |  | - |  | 3,175,770 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 3,390,392 | 258,409 | 9,131,766 |  | - |  | 12,780,567 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unavailable Tax Revenue |  | - | 1,937,465 | - |  | - |  | 1,937,465 |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | - | 1,937,465 | - |  | - |  | 1,937,465 |
| Fund Balances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid Items |  | 1,062 | - | - |  | - |  | 1,062 |
| Total Nonspendable Funds |  | 1,062 | - | - |  | - |  | 1,062 |
| Restricted |  | - | 3,086,043 | 114,245 |  | 81,272 |  | 3,281,560 |
| Committed |  | 1,575,471 | - | - |  | - |  | 1,575,471 |
| Assigned |  | - | - | 1,330,219 |  | - |  | 1,330,219 |
| Unassigned |  | 3,076,539 | - | - |  | - |  | 3,076,539 |
| Total Fund Balances |  | 4,653,072 | 3,086,043 | 1,444,464 |  | 81,272 |  | 9,264,851 |
| Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances | \$ | 8,043,464 | \$ 5,281,917 | \$10,576,230 | \$ | 81,272 | \$ | 23,982,883 |

The notes to the basic financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position June 30, 2017 

Total Fund Balances - Governmental Funds
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position
are different because:
Capital assets are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the
governmental funds.
Deferred outflows not available to pay for current period expenditures and
therefore not reported in the governmental funds:
Deferred outflow of pension contributions after measurement date
Deferred pension differences
A portion of the District's property taxes are collected after year-end but are not
available soon enough to pay for the current year's operations, and therefore is
not reported as revenue in the governmental funds.
Liabilities not payable in the current year and deferred inflows not realized in the
current year are not reported as governmental fund liabilities.
These liabilities and deferred inflows consist of:
Limited Tax Pension Bonds Payable
Net pension liability
Deferred inflow of pension investment income differences and differences
in proportionate share

# Multnomah Education Service District Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance <br> Governmental Funds <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 

|  | General | Resolution Services | Contracted Services | Non-major Fund Debt Service | Total Governmental Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes | \$ | \$ 30,858,755 | \$ | \$ | \$ 30,858,755 |
| State School Fund | - | 8,028,445 | - | - | 8,028,445 |
| Local Sources | 65,016 | 48,782 | 7,937,201 | - | 8,050,999 |
| State Sources | 7,344 | - | 8,879,644 | - | 8,886,988 |
| Federal Sources | - | 86,523 | 2,004,465 | - | 2,090,988 |
| Investment Earnings | 126,850 | - | - | 13,732 | 140,582 |
| Sales of Goods \& Services | - | - | 1,116 | - | 1,116 |
| Other Revenues | 287,696 | 655,039 | 460,646 | - | 1,403,381 |
| Services to Other Funds | 698,744 | - | - | 2,833,941 | 3,532,685 |
| Charges for Services | 897,565 | - | - | - | 897,565 |
| Total Revenues | 2,083,215 | 39,677,544 | 19,283,072 | 2,847,673 | 63,891,504 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instruction | - | 6,651,973 | 9,894,823 | - | 16,546,796 |
| Support Services | 5,842,046 | 14,533,850 | 7,773,585 | - | 28,149,481 |
| Enterprise \& Community Svcs. | 220,861 | 132,113 | 2,863,513 | - | 3,216,487 |
| Debt Service | - | - | - | 3,294,520 | 3,294,520 |
| Apportionment of Funds | - | 14,429,052 | - | - | 14,429,052 |
| Total Expenditures | 6,062,907 | 35,746,988 | 20,531,921 | 3,294,520 | 65,636,336 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures | $(3,979,692)$ | 3,930,556 | $(1,248,849)$ | $(446,847)$ | $(1,744,832)$ |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers In | 4,456,345 | - | - | 519,500 | 4,975,845 |
| Transfers Out | $(519,500)$ | (4,018,720) | $(437,625)$ | - | $(4,975,845)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) | 3,936,845 | $(4,018,720)$ | $(437,625)$ | 519,500 | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance | $(42,847)$ | $(88,164)$ | $(1,686,474)$ | 72,653 | $(1,744,832)$ |
| Beginning Fund Balances | 4,695,919 | 3,174,207 | 3,130,938 | 8,619 | 11,009,683 |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ 4,653,072 | \$ 3,086,043 | \$ 1,444,464 | \$ 81,272 | \$ 9,264,851 |

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 

## Total Net Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

\$ $(1,744,832)$

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:

Governmental funds report capital asset additions as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { Purchases of Capital Assets } & 259,849 \\
\text { Depreciation Expense } & (424,311) \\
\text { Loss on Disposal of Capital Assets } & (24,346)
\end{array}
$$

The repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the governmental funds but reduces the liability in the Statement of Net Position

Changes in deferred inflows/outflows related to pension liability and pension liability are not recognized in the governmental funds.
Change in pension contributions after the measurement date
Change in net pension liability
Changes in assumptions
Changes in proportionate share
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on investments
762,353

Difference between expected and actual experience, and net differences between district contributions and district's proportionate share of system contributions.

Revenues that do not meet the measurable and available criteria are not recognized in the current year in the governmental funds. In the Statement of Activities revenues are recognized when earned.

Property Taxes

Other post-employment benefits expenses are reported in the Statement of Activities but they are not reported as expenditures in the governmental funds.

Compensated absences are recognized as an expenditure in the governmental funds when they are paid. In the Statement of Activities compensated absences are recognized as an expense when incurred.

Settlements payable are recognized as an expenditure in the governmental funds when they are paid. In the Statement of Activities settlements payable are recognized as an expense when incurred.

Multnomah Education Service District<br>Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities<br>Agency Fund<br>June 30, 2017

## Assets

| Cash and Cash Equivalents | $\$$ | 32,532 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accounts Receivable | 441 |  |
| Total Assets | $\$ \quad 32,973$ |  |

Liabilities

| Due to Others | $\$$ | 32,973 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Liabilities | $\underline{\$} \quad 32,973$ |  |
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## NOTE I - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## A. Description of the government-wide financial statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) display information on all of the governmental activities of the Multnomah Education Service District as a whole. Fiduciary activities are not included in the government-wide financial statements. All fiduciary activities are reported only in the fund financial statements.

## B. Reporting entity

Multnomah Education Service District (the District) is a municipal corporation governed by an elected seven-member Board of Directors (the Board). Administration officials are approved by the Board. The daily functioning of the District is under the supervision of the Superintendent. As required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, all activities of the District have been included in these basic financial statements.

The District qualifies as a primary government since it has a separately elected governing body, is a legally separate entity, and is fiscally independent. There are various governmental agencies and special service districts which provide services within the District's boundaries. However, the District is not financially accountable for any of these entities, and therefore, none of them are considered component units or included in these basic financial statements.

## C. Basis of presentation - government-wide financial statements

While separate government-wide and fund financial statements are presented, they are interrelated. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements except that interfund services provided and used are not eliminated in the process of consolidation.

## D. Basis of presentation - fund financial statements

The fund financial statements provide information about the government's funds, including its fiduciary fund. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major individual governmental funds, each displayed in a separate column.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:
General Fund - accounts for the general operating costs of the District and provides supports services to other funds. The principal revenue source comes from the $10 \%$ transfer from the Resolution Services Fund. Risk Management Reserves and Facilities and Equipment Reserves are also included in this fund.

Special Revenue Funds - these funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects. The District has two special revenue funds and both are separately reported as major funds in the governmental financial statements:

Resolution Services Fund - provides for those programs and services authorized by component districts through the resolution process. The sources of revenues for this fund come exclusively from local property taxes and the State School Fund. In accordance with Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 334.177, a maximum of $10 \%$ of these revenues are transferred to the General Fund and used to pay for the general
operating costs of the District. The remaining $90 \%$ is apportioned to the component school districts according to average daily membership weighted (ADMw).

Contracted Services Fund - accounts for activities carried on for the benefit of participating local school districts, as well as food dispensing programs. The fund is supported by grants and other reimbursements, mainly from the state and participating school districts.

In addition, the District maintains the following funds:
Debt Service Fund - This fund accounts for the payment and interest on the Limited Tax Pension Obligation bonds and the general obligation bond (fully paid in FY2016-17).

Fiduciary Fund - The MESD maintains one agency fund which reports resources held by the District in a custodial capacity for component districts. The District also used this fund to report resources for the Oregon Association of Education Service Districts (OAESD) as fiscal agent through September 2016. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statement because the resources of those funds are not available to support the MESD's own programs.

Certain activity occurs during the year involving transfers of resources between funds. In fund financial statements these amounts are reported as transfers in/out. While reported in fund financial statements, interfund transfers are not included in government-wide financial statements.

## E. Measurement focus and basis of accounting

The accounting and financial reporting treatment is determined by the applicable measurement focus and basis of accounting. Measurement focus indicates the type of resources being measured such as current financial resources or economic resources. The basis of accounting indicates the timing of transactions or events for recognition in the financial statements.

Government-wide and fiduciary fund financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. On the accrual basis of accounting, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they are "measurable and available"). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period ( 60 days). Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred, except for unmatured interest on long-term debt which is recognized when due, other post-employment benefits, claims and judgments and compensated absences, which are recognized when expended.

Revenues susceptible to accrual are interest, state, county and local shared revenue and federal and state grants. Expenditure-driven grants are recognized as revenue when qualifying expenditures have been incurred and all other grant requirements have been met.

## F. Budgetary information

## 1. Budget process

The District begins its budgeting process by appointing Budget Committee members. Budget recommendations are developed by management and the Board Finance Committee throughout the Spring. The Budget Committee meets and approves the budget in March. Public notices of the budget hearing are generally published in April, and the hearing is held in May. The budget is adopted, appropriations are made and the tax levy is declared no later than June 30 .

Unexpected additional resources may be added to the budget through the use of a supplemental budget and appropriation resolution. Supplemental budgets less than $10 \%$ of the fund's current budget may be adopted by the Board at a regular meeting. A supplemental budget greater than $10 \%$ of the fund's current budget requires hearings before the public, publication in newspapers and approval by the Board. Original and supplemental budgets may be modified by the use of appropriation transfers between the levels of control (major function levels). Such transfers require approval by the Board.

## 2. Budgetary basis of accounting

A budget is prepared and legally adopted for each governmental fund on the modified accrual basis of accounting in the main program categories required by the Oregon Local Budget Law.

Expenditure budgets are appropriated at the major function level (instruction, support services, community services, facilities, apportionment of funds (transit), debt service, contingency, and transfers) for each fund. Appropriations may not legally be over-expended, except in the case of grant receipts which could not be reasonably estimated at the time the budget was adopted.

Budget amounts shown in the financial statements include the original budget amounts and any appropriation transfers approved by the Board. Appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.

## 3. Excess of expenditures over appropriations

For the year ended June 30, 2017, there are no expenditures over appropriations in any of the District's funds.

## G. Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, and deferred inflows, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

## H. Assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows, and net position/fund balance

## 1. Cash and cash equivalents

The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

## 2. Investments

Amounts in the State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool are not required to be collateralized. There is no material difference between the fair value of the District's position in the State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool and the value of the pool shares at June 30, 2017.

## 3. Prepaid items

Prepaid items are charged to expenditure/expense at the time the items are used (consumption method).

## 4. Capital assets

Capital assets, which include land, buildings, equipment and construction in progress, are defined as assets with an initial individual cost of more than $\$ 5,000$ and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Capital assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Donated capital assets are recorded at their estimated fair market value on the date donated. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the assets or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

| Buildings and improvements | 40 years |
| :--- | :--- |
| Equipment | 5 to 20 years |
| Vehicles | 5 to 10 years |
| Site improvements | 15 years |

## 5. Long-term obligations

In the government-wide financial statements long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are expenses as incurred.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

## 6. Deferred outflows/inflows of resources

In addition to assets, the Statement of Net Position reports a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separated financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The District has two type of items which qualify for reporting in this category. They are the pension contributions after measurement date and deferred pension differences reported in the Government-wide Statement of Net Position.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position and the Balance Sheet report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be
recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District has two types of items which qualify for reporting in deferred outflows of resources. The first type of item is the deferred pension differences reported in the Government-wide Statement of Net Position. The second type of item which qualifies for reporting in deferred outflows of resources arises only under a modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenues, is reported only in the governmental funds Balance Sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from one source: property taxes. This amount is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amount becomes available.

## 7. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) and additions to/deductions from PERS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERS.

## 8. Net position flow assumptions

Net position is classified into the following categories:
Net investment in capital assets - consists of all capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net position - consists of net position with constraints placed on use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net position - consists of all other net position that is not included in the other categories previously mentioned.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use in a specific program or for a specific purpose, the District's normal policy is to use restricted resources first to finance its activities.

## 9. Fund balance flow assumptions

Sometimes the District will fund outlays for a particular purpose from both restricted and unrestricted resources (the total of committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance). When fund balance resources are available for a specific purpose in multiple classifications, the District uses the most restrictive funds first in the following order: restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned as they are needed.

## 10. Fund balance policies

Governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance. GASB Statement 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions provides more clearly defined fund balance categories to make the nature and extent of the constraints placed on a government's fund balance more transparent. The following classifications describe the relative strength of the spending constraints placed on purpose for which resources can be used:

Nonspendable: This classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. Management has classified prepaid items as being non-spendable as they are not expected to be converted to cash.

Restricted: This classification includes amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of resources which are either:

1. Externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or
2. Imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Committed: This classification includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action (resolution) of the District's Board of Directors, which is the District's highest level of decision-making authority. These amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Board removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action that was employed when the funds were initially committed.

Assigned: This classification includes spendable amounts for a specific purpose. The intent of an assigned fund balance is expressed by either the District's Board of Directors, or a subordinate highlevel body, such as a finance committee, or an official, such as the superintendent, that has the authority to assign amounts to be used for assigned purposes.

Unassigned: This classification is the residual fund balance for the General Fund. It represents fund balance that has not been assigned, committed, or restricted.

## I. Revenues and expenditures/expenses

## 1. Program revenues

Amounts reported as program revenues include 1) charges to customers who purchase or use goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

## 2. Property taxes

Uncollected real and personal property taxes are reflected on the Statement of Net Position and the Balance Sheet as receivables. Uncollected taxes are deemed to be substantially collectible or recoverable through liens, therefore no allowance for uncollectible taxes has been established. All property taxes receivable are due from property owners within the District.

Under state law, county governments are responsible for extending authorized property tax levies, computing tax rates, billing and collecting all property taxes, and making periodic distributions of collections to entities levying taxes. Property taxes become a lien against the property when levied on July 1 of each year and are payable in three installments due on November 15, February 15 and May 15. Property tax collections are distributed monthly except for November, when such distributions are made weekly.

## 3. Grants

Unreimbursed expenditures due from grantor agencies are reflected in the government-wide financial statements as receivables and revenues. Grant revenues are recorded at the time eligible expenditures are incurred. Cash received from grantor agencies in excess of related grant expenditures is recorded as unavailable revenue on the Balance Sheet and unearned revenue on the Statement of Net Position.

## 4. Compensated absences

Vacation: The District's policy permits employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation benefits during the year. Accrued vacation may be used by the employee during the year earned and must be used by the employee during the following year or be forfeited. Eligible balances are paid upon separation from District service. The liability for such leave is reported as incurred in the governmentwide statements as a current liability. A liability for those amounts is recorded in the governmental funds only if the liability has matured as a result of employee resignations or retirements.

Sick Leave: There is no liability for unpaid accumulated sick leave since the District does not have a policy to pay any amounts when employees separate from service with the District.

## NOTE II - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

## A. Violations of legal or contractual provisions

The District had no violations of legal or contractual provisions in the fiscal year 2016-2017.

## NOTE III - DETAILED NOTES ON ALL ACTIVITIES AND FUNDS

## A. Cash deposits and cash equivalents

Custodial credit risk - deposits. Deposits with financial institutions are comprised of bank demand deposits. The combined total bank balance at June 30, 2017 was $\$ 2,601,646$ (carrying amount $\$ 2,218,062$ ). As required by ORS, deposits were held at a qualified depository for public funds. All qualified depositories for public funds are included in the multiple financial institution collateral pool that is maintained by and in the name of the Office of the State Treasurer.

Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2017, (recorded at fair value) consisted of:

| Cash on Hand | $\$ 3,317$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Demand Deposits | $2,218,062$ |
| Local Government Investment Pool | $2,250,181$ |
|  | $4,471,560$ |
| less Fiduciary Fund | $(32,532)$ |
|  | $\$ 4,439,028$ |

## B. Investments

State statutes govern the District's cash management policies because the District does not have an official investment policy. Statutes authorize the District to invest in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, agencies and instrumentalities of the United States, commercial paper, bankers acceptances guaranteed by a qualified financial institution, repurchase agreements, interest bearing bonds of any city, county, port or school
district in Oregon (subject to specific standards), and the Oregon State Treasurer's Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP), among others.

The LGIP is considered as cash and cash equivalents and is not registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as an investment company. The ORS and the Oregon Investment Council (OIC) govern the State's investment policies. The State Treasurer is the investment officer for the OIC and is responsible for all funds in the State Treasury. These funds must be invested, and the investments managed, as a prudent investor would, exercising reasonable care, skill and caution. Investments in the Fund are further governed by portfolio guidelines issued by the Oregon Short-Term Fund Board (OSTFB), which establish diversification percentages and specify the types and maturities of investments. The portfolio guidelines permit securities lending transactions as well as investments in repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements.

Interest rate risk. ORS require investments to not exceed a maturity of 18 months, except when the local government has adopted a written investment policy that was submitted to and reviewed by the OSTFB. The District does not have any investments that have a maturity date greater than 18 months.

Credit risk. The District has not adopted an investment policy and all investment activity has been limited to the LGIP.

## C. Receivables

Amounts are aggregated into a single account receivable line in the Statement of Net Position and Balance Sheet. Below is the detail of receivables for the governmental funds:

| Receivables | General | Resolution Services |  | ContractedServices |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts | \$ 194,859 | \$ | 399,192 | \$ | 274,912 | \$ | 868,963 |
| Contracts | 76,353 |  | 56,443 |  | 9,862,095 |  | ,994,891 |
| Grants | - |  | - |  | 439,223 |  | 439,223 |
| Total Receivables | \$ 271,212 | \$ | 455,635 |  | 0,576,230 |  | ,303,077 |

## D. Capital assets

The changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2017 are as follows:


Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2017 was allocated to the functions as follows:

| Regular programs | $\$$ | 280 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Special programs |  | 3,290 |
| Student services |  | 35,480 |
| Instructional staff services |  | 396 |
| General administration |  | 1,540 |
| Business services |  | 36,888 |
| Central activities | 81,085 |  |
| Unallocated depreciation |  | 265,352 |
|  |  | 424,311 |
|  |  |  |

## E. Pension obligations

The District contributes to the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (PERS), established pursuant to ORS Chapters 238, Defined Benefit Pension Plan (PERS Tier I/Tier II) and 238A, Oregon Public Service Retirement Pension (OPSRP) Program (collectively the Plan). Both are administered by the Oregon Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) Board of Trustees with authority granted by the Oregon Legislature. PERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for state government, political subdivisions, community colleges and school districts in the State of Oregon and provides retirement, death, and disability benefits to members; administers retiree health insurance programs; and oversees the state-sponsored deferred compensation program.

Copies of the PERS' Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports and Actuarial Valuations may be obtained at http://www.oregon.gov/pers/Pages/Financials/Actuarial-Financial-Information.aspx.

## 1. Plan Description

PERS Tier I/Tier II is a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. The 1995 Oregon Legislature established a different level of benefits for employees who began their six month waiting period on or before January 1, 1996 called Tier II. The plan is closed to new members hired on or after August 29, 2003.

OPSRP Pension Program is a hybrid retirement plan with two components: the Pension Program (defined benefit) and the Individual Account Program, which is reported as a separate pension plan in the PERS financial statements. The 2003 Oregon Legislature established OPSRP. Public employees hired on or after August 29, 2003, become part of OPSRP. Beginning January 1, 2004, PERS active Tier One and Tier Two members became members of the Individual Account Program (IAP) of OPSRP. PERS members retain their existing Plan accounts, but member contributions are now deposited into the member's IAP account, not into the member's Defined Benefit Plan account. Accounts are credited with earnings and losses net of administrative expenses. OPSRP is part of PERS and administered by the Board. The PERS Board is directed to adopt any rules necessary to administer OPSRP, and such rules are to be considered part of the plan for IRS purposes.

|  | PERS Tier I/Tier II <br> (closed to new members) |  | OPSRP Pension Program |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Services | Police \& Fire | General Services | Police \& Fire |
| Pension Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement allowance | Payable monthly for life with multiple benefit options; including, survivorship and lump-sum refunds. |  | Life pension funded by employer contributions |  |
| Basic benefit | A percentage multiplied by the number of years of service and the final average salary. PERS Tier I have other options available if greater benefit results. |  |  |  |
|  | 1.67\% | 2.00\% | 1.50\% | 1.80\% |
| Vested | Contribution in each of five calendar years before ceasing employment with a participating employer, or has reached: |  | Earliest of the following: |  |
|  |  |  | 1) completes 600 hours of service in each of 5 calendar years <br> 2) reaches normal retirement age <br> 3) the pension program is terminated |  |
|  | 50 years of age | 45 years of age |  |  |
| Minimum retirement age | 55 | 50 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \text { or } \\ 58 \text { w/ } 30 \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \text { or } \\ 53 \mathrm{w} / 25 \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |
| Reduced Benefits: Tier I | prior to age 58 <br> with < 30 years of service | prior to age 55 <br> with < 25 years of service |  |  |
| Reduced Benefits: Tier II | prior | ge 60 |  |  |


| PERS Tier I/Tier II <br> (closed to new members) |  | OPSRP Pension Program |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General <br> Services | Police \& | Fire | General <br> Services |

## Death Benefits

| Beneficiary receives lump sum <br> refund of member's account balance, <br> plus lump-sum payment from <br> employer funds equal to the account <br> balance provided certain conditions <br> are met. | Beneficiary receives $50 \%$ of the <br> pension that would otherwise be paid <br> to member |
| :--- | :--- |

## Disability Benefits

Eligibility (non-job related cause)

Eligibility (job related cause)

Amount

## Benefit Changes After Retirement



| Basic pension benefit member would <br> have been entitled to had they <br> worked to... |  | $45 \%$ of member's salary determined <br> as of the last full month of <br> employment prior to disability |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 58 years of age | 55 years of age |  |

Under ORS 238.360 (PERS) and ORS 238A. 210 (OPSRP) monthly benefits are adjusted annually through cost-of-living changes. Under current law, the cap on COLA in fiscal year 2015 and beyond will vary based on $1.25 \%$ on the first $\$ 60,000$ of annual benefit and $0.15 \%$ on annual benefits above $\$ 60,000$. PERS Tier I/II members may choose to continue participation in a variable equities investment account after retiring.

## OPSRP Individual Account Program (OPSRP IAP)

## Pension Benefits

An IAP member becomes vested on the date the employee account is established or on the date the rollover account was established. If the employer makes optional employer contributions for a member, the member becomes vested on the earliest of the following dates: the date the member completes 600 hours of service in each of five calendar years, the date the member reaches normal retirement age, the date the IAP is terminated, the date the active member becomes disabled, or the date the active member dies.
Upon retirement, a member of the OPSRP Individual Account Program (IAP) may receive the amounts in his or her employee account, rollover account, and vested employer account as a lump-sum payment or in equal installments over a 5 -, 10 -, 15 -, 20-year period or an anticipated life span option. Each distribution option has a $\$ 200$ minimum distribution limit.

## Death Benefits

Upon the death of a non-retired member, the beneficiary receives in a lump sum the member's account balance, rollover account balance, and vested employer optional contribution account balance. If a retired member dies before the installment payments are completed, the beneficiary may receive the remaining installment payments or choose a lump-sum payment.

## 2. Contributions

The contribution requirements for plan members and the District are established by ORS Chapter 238 and may be amended by an act of the Oregon Legislature. The rate of employer contributions to PERS is determined periodically by PERS based on actuarial valuations performed at least every two years. Members of PERS Tier I/Tier II and OPSRP are required to contribute $6 \%$ of their salary covered under the plan. The District is required by ORS 238.225 to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. These contributions, expressed as a percentage of covered payroll, are intended to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. In 2004, the District made a lump sum payment funded by a Limited Tax Pension Bond to establish a side account and contribution rates have been reduced. More information about the District's Limited Tax Pension Obligations, Series 2004, is on page 43 of this report. Contribution rates for the past three biennial periods are shown on the following table:

| Net Employer Contribution PERS Rate |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERS | OPSRP | OPSRP |
|  |  |  |  |
| PERS Rate | (Tier I/II) | General | Fire |
| $7-1-15$ to 6-30-17 | $13.55 \%$ | $0.86 \%$ | $4.97 \%$ |
| $7-1-13$ to 6-30-15 | $11.72 \%$ | $11.85 \%$ | $14.58 \%$ |
| $7-1-11$ to 6-30-13 | $10.21 \%$ | $12.92 \%$ |  |

The District's contributions to the plan for the years ending June 30, 2017, 2016, and 2015 were approximately $\$ 0.6$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million, and $\$ 2.3$ million, respectively, and were equal to the required contributions for each year.

The District pays the required member portion in accordance with bargaining agreements, which approximated $\$ 1.2$ million, $\$ 1.1$ million, and $\$ 1.0$ million for the years ended June 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.
3. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2017, the District reported a liability of $\$ 19,559,260$ for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2016, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of December 31, 2014 rolled forward to June 30, 2016. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected long-term contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2016, the District's proportion was $0.13028804 \%$.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District recognized net pension expense of \$2,143,059. At June 30, 2017, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  |  | Deferred Outflow of Resources | Deferred Inflow of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ | 647,106 | \$ |  |
| Changes of assumptions |  | 4,171,520 |  |  |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on investments |  | 3,864,089 |  | - |
| Changes in proportionate share |  |  |  | 2,626,541 |
| Differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of system contributions |  | 471,853 |  | 740,176 |
| Total (prior to post-MD contributions) |  | 9,154,568 |  | 3,366,717 |
| Contributions subsequent to the MD |  | 489,713 |  | - |
| Total Deferred Outflow/(Inflow) of Resources | \$ | 9,644,281 | \$ | 3,366,717 |
| Net Deferred Outflow/(Inflow) of Resources |  |  | \$ | 6,277,564 |

$\$ 489,713$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2018. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:


## 4. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

| Valuation Date | December 31, 2014 rolled forward to June 30, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Experience Study Report | 2014, published September 2015 |
| Actuarial cost method | Entry Age Normal |
| Actuarial assumptions: | $2.50 \%$ (reduced from 2.75\%) |
| Inflation rate (CPI) | $7.50 \%$ (reduced from 7.75\%) |
| Long-term expected rate of return | $7.50 \%$ (reduced from 7.75\%) |
| Discount rate | $3.50 \%$ (reduced from 3.75\%) <br> Projected salary increases <br> Cost of living adjustments |
| Blend of 2.00\% COLA and graded COLA (1.25\%/0.15\%); blend based on <br> service. |  |
| Mortality | Healthy retirees and beneficiaries: <br> RP-2000 Sex-distinct, generational per Scale BB, with collar adjustments <br> and set-backs as described in the valuation. <br> Active members: <br> Mortality rates are a percentage of healthy retiree rates that vary by group, <br> as described in the valuation. <br> Disabled retirees: <br> Mortality rates are a percentage (70\% for males, 95\% for females) of the <br> RP-2000 sex-distinct, generational per Scale BB, disabled mortality table. |

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of projected benefits and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Experience studies are performed as of December 31 of even numbered years. The methods and assumptions shown in the preceding table are based on the 2014 Experience Study, which reviewed experience for the four-year period ended on December 31, 2014.

Effective with the December 31, 2014 actuarial valuation, issued in November 2015, assumptions were changed, which were expected to have a significant impact on future contribution rates. These changes include the lowering of assumed investment returns to $7.50 \%$, the assumed inflation was lowered to $2.50 \%$, the healthy mortality assumption was changed to reflect an updated mortality improvement scale for all groups, and updated assumptions for merit increases, unused sick leave, and vacation pay.

The "assumed rate" - the rate of investment returns (including inflation) that the PERS Fund's regular account is expected to earn over the long term - is reviewed by the PERS Board every two years when considering all the actuarial methods and assumptions that are used to assess the system's financial position. At its September 25, 2015 meeting, the PERS Board lowered the assumed rate from $7.75 \%$ to $7.50 \%$ effective January 1, 2016. At its July 28, 2017 meeting, the PERS Board again lowered the assumed rate to $7.20 \%$ effective on January 1, 2018. These decisions are based on data from the investment forecasts and review of the guiding principles presented by the actuaries. The Oregon Investment Council also supported a decrease in the assumed rate due to the collapse in bond yields and persistent downward pressures on interest rates.

## 5. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $7.50 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from Plan members and those of the contributing employers are made at the contractually required rates, as actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current Plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## 6. Long-term Expected Rate of Return

To develop an analytical basis for the selection of the long-term expected rate of return assumption, in July 2015 the PERS Board reviewed long-term assumptions developed by both Milliman's capital market assumptions team and the Oregon Investment Council's (OIC) investment advisors. The following table, originally presented in the PERS' separately issued financial report, shows Milliman's assumptions for each of the asset classes in which the plan was invested at the time based on the OIC long-term target asset allocation. The OIC's description of each asset class was used to map the target allocation to the asset classes shown below. Each asset class assumption is based on a consistent set of underlying assumptions, and includes adjustment for the inflation assumption. These assumptions are not based on historical returns, but instead are based on a forward-looking capital market economic model.

|  | Target <br> Allocation* | Annual <br> Arithmetic <br> Return | Compound Annual <br> (Geometric) <br> Return | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Asset Class | $8.00 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $4.68 \%$ |
| Core Fixed Income | 8.00 | 3.65 | 3.61 | 2.74 |
| Short-Term Bonds | 3.00 | 5.69 | 5.42 | 7.82 |
| Bank/Leveraged Loans | 1.00 | 6.67 | 6.20 | 10.28 |
| High Yield Bonds | 15.75 | 7.96 | 6.70 | 17.07 |
| Large/Mid Cap US Equities | 1.31 | 8.93 | 6.99 | 21.35 |
| Small Cap US Equities | 1.31 | 9.37 | 7.01 | 23.72 |
| Micro Cap US Equities | 13.13 | 8.34 | 6.73 | 19.40 |
| Developed Foreign Equities | 4.12 | 10.56 | 7.25 | 28.45 |
| Emerging Market Equities | 1.88 | 9.01 | 7.22 | 20.55 |
| Non-US Small Cap Equities | 17.50 | 11.60 | 7.97 | 30.00 |
| Private Equity | 10.00 | 6.48 | 5.84 | 12.00 |
| Real Estate (Property) | 2.50 | 8.74 | 6.69 | 22.02 |
| Real Estate (REITS) | 2.50 | 4.94 | 4.64 | 8.09 |
| Hedge Fund of Funds - Diversified | 0.63 | 7.07 | 6.72 | 8.90 |
| Hedge Fund - Event-driven | 1.88 | 6.60 | 5.85 | 13.00 |
| Timber | 1.88 | 7.11 | 6.37 | 13.00 |
| Farmland | 3.75 | 8.31 | 7.13 | 16.50 |
| Infrastructure | 1.88 | 6.07 | 4.58 | 18.40 |
| Commodities |  |  | $2.50 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ |
| Assumed Inflation - Mean |  |  |  |  |
| * Based on the OIC Statement of Investment Objectives and Policy Framework for the Oregon Public Employees Retirement Fund, revised |  |  |  |  |
| as of December 3, 2014. The revised allocation was adopted at the June 3, 2015 OIC meeting. |  |  |  |  |

7. Sensitivity of the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate.

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of $7.50 \%$, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower ( $6.50 \%$ ) or 1-percentage-point higher $(8.50 \%)$ than the current rate:

|  | $1 \%$ Decrease <br> $(6.50 \%)$ |  | Discount Rate <br> $(7.50 \%)$ |  | $1 \%$ Increase <br> $(8.50 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District's proportionate share of the net pension <br> liability (asset) | $\$ 31,581,683$ |  | $\$ 19,559,260$ |  | $\$ 9,510,618$ |

## 8. Pension plan fiduciary net position.

Detailed information about the Plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued PERS financial report.

## F. Other postemployment benefit (OPEB) obligations

## 1. Plan Description

The District does not have a formal post-employment benefits plan for the employees; however, the District is required by ORS 243.303 to provide retirees with group health and dental insurance from the date of retirement to age 65 at the same rate provided to current employees. This "plan" is not a standalone plan and therefore does not issue its own financial statements.

## 2. Funding Policy

The required contributions to the plan include the employer's pay-as-you-go amount, an amount paid directly by retirees to the Oregon Employment Benefits Board (OEBB), and an additional amount calculated to prefund future benefits as determined by the actuary.

## 3. Funding Progress

The table below shows the funding progress as of the most recent actuarial valuation date:


## 4. Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The District's annual other post-employment benefit cost is calculated based on the annual required contribution (ARC) of the District, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities over a period of 30 years.

The District's most recent actuarial valuation date was July 1, 2016. The following table shows the components of the District's annual OPEB cost (APC) for the last three fiscal years, amounts actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the District's OPEB obligation to the plan.

|  | June 30, 2017 |  | June 30, 2016 |  | June 30, 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual required contribution | \$ | 269,982 | \$ | 125,225 | \$ | 120,991 |
| Interest on net OPEB obligation |  | 43,577 |  | 46,234 |  | 42,124 |
| Adjustment to annual required contribution |  | $(65,392)$ |  | $(41,280)$ |  | $(37,610)$ |
| Annual OPEB Cost (APC) |  | 248,167 |  | 130,179 |  | 125,505 |
| Contributions made |  | $(169,359)$ |  | $(40,962)$ |  | $(22,757)$ |
| Increase in net OPEB obligation |  | 78,808 |  | 89,217 |  | 102,748 |
| Net OPEB obligation - beginning of year |  | 1,245,062 |  | 1,155,845 |  | 1,053,097 |
| Net OPEB obligation - end of year | \$ | 1,323,870 | \$ | 1,245,062 | \$ | 1,155,845 |
| Percentage of APC contributed |  | 68\% |  | 31\% |  | 18\% |

## 5. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing the benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the most recent actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2016, the entry age normal, level percent of salary actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 3.5 percent interest rate for discounting future liabilities and an annual healthcare cost trend rate of 6.5 percent initially, and then declining over the next fifteen years until 5.0 percent is reached. The projected annual rate of wage inflation is 3.0 percent compounded annually and the UAAL is being amortized over an open period of thirty years as a level percent of payroll.

## 6. Projection of Plan's Anticipated Cash Flow

There is an implicit rate subsidy with respect to retired employees because the medical premium rates charged for coverage typically are less than they would be if the retirees were in a separately rated plan. Actual medical premium rates are determined by blending both active and retiree experience. The difference is the implicit rate subsidy, and total projected subsidy are as follows:

| Fiscal Year <br> End June 30 |  | Projected <br> Subsidy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 |  | $\$ 169,359$ |
| 2018 |  | 178,806 |
| 2019 |  | 185,811 |
| 2020 |  | 190,844 |
| 2021 |  | 165,533 |
| 2022 |  | 182,305 |
| 2023 |  | 208,134 |
| 2024 |  | 198,862 |
| 2025 |  | 209,412 |
| 2026 |  | 210,845 |

These projections are based on the census data, SRHB provisions, and actuarial assumptions described above. For purposes of GASB 45 accounting, this implicit rate subsidy is considered to be the District's "contribution".

## 7. Changes Since Prior Valuation

- The actuarial cost method was changed from Projected Unit Credit to Entry Age Normal, to conform with the method required under GASB Statement 75.
- The interest rate for discounting future liabilities was lowered to match the municipal bond rates, to conform with the rates required under GASB Statement 75.
- The salary scale and general inflation assumptions were lowered to better reflect anticipated experience.
- Premium increase rates were modified slightly to reflect anticipated experience
- The percentage of future retirees covering a spouse on the plan was decreased to reflect anticipated experience.
- Demographic assumptions were revised to match (as closely as possible) those developed in the most recent experience study for Oregon PERS.


## Retirement Health Insurance Account

As a member of PERS the District contributes to the Retirement Health Insurance Account (RHIA) for each of its eligible employees. RHIA is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined other postemployment benefit plan administered by PERS. RHIA pays a monthly contribution toward the cost of Medicare companion health insurance premiums of eligible retirees. ORS 238.420 established this trust fund. Authority to establish and amend the benefit provisions of RHIA reside with the Oregon Legislature. The plan is closed to new entrants after January 1, 2004. PERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to Oregon Public Employees Retirement System, PO Box 23700, Tigard, OR 97281-3700.

Because RHIA was created by enabling legislation (ORS 238.420), contribution requirements of the plan members and the participating employers were established and may be amended only by the Oregon Legislature. ORS require that an amount equal to $\$ 60$ or the total monthly cost of Medicare companion health insurance premiums coverage, whichever is less, shall be paid from the Retirement Health Insurance Account established by the employer, and any monthly cost in excess of $\$ 60$ shall be paid by the eligible retired member in the manner provided in ORS 238.410. To be eligible to receive this monthly payment toward the premium cost the member must: (1) have eight years or more of qualifying service in PERS at the time of retirement or receive a disability allowance as if the member had eight years or more of creditable service in PERS, (2) receive both Medicare Parts A and B coverage, and (3) enroll in a PERSsponsored health plan.

A surviving spouse or dependent of a deceased PERS retiree who was eligible to receive the subsidy is eligible to receive the subsidy if he or she (1) is receiving a retirement benefit or allowance from PERS or (2) was insured at the time the member died and the member retired before May 1, 1991.

Oregon school districts are contractually required to contribute to RHIA at a rate assessed each year by PERS, and the District currently contributes $0.53 \%$ for PERS and $0.45 \%$ for OPSRP of annual covered payroll. The PERS Board of Trustees sets the employer contribution rates based on the annual required contribution of the employers (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) of the
plan over a period not to exceed thirty years. The District's contributions to RHIA for the year ended June 30, 2017 are included in the PERS annual pension amount.

## G. Risk management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft or damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters for which the District carries commercial insurance. The District uses the General Fund's risk management reserve fund to account for the payment of workers' compensation, general liability, and property damage insurance premiums. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage for any of the past three years.

## H. Lease obligations

## 1. Capital lease

The District has no capital lease obligations.

## 2. Operating lease

The District entered into an operating lease for a Milo Autism Robot in our Assistive Technology Program beginning in June 2016 and ending in May 2018. The agreement is for a two-year term, $\$ 5,000$ annually, with an opt-out clause requiring written notification to cancel within 60 days.

The District leases building space at various locations. Total payments in 2016-17 were $\$ 409,087$.
The District has entered into a 10-year building lease with Parkrose School District for a portion of Knott Creek School beginning in July 2017 and ending in June 2027. The school will house additional classrooms and space for Special Education programs as a result of increased enrollment.

Future minimum rental commitments at June 30, 2017 are as follows:

| For the Year Ending June 30, 2018 | Facilities |  | Equipment |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 604,797 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 609,797 |
| 2019 |  | 550,993 |  | - |  | 550,993 |
| 2020 |  | 576,234 |  | - |  | 576,234 |
| 2021 |  | 602,645 |  | - |  | 602,645 |
| 2022 |  | 421,963 |  | - |  | 421,963 |
| 2023-2027 |  | 1,611,137 |  | - |  | 1,611,137 |
| Total minimum future lease payments | \$ | 4,367,769 | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 4,372,769 |

All leases are cancellable if funding is not available.

## I. Commitments

The District entered into a service agreement with Pacific Office Automation beginning July 2014 and ending June 2019 for all copier and printer services. Pacific Office Automation provides all machines, toner, and maintenance to the District. The District is responsible for paper and any images above an agreed upon base volume by machine, paid as a per click charge. Future minimum commitment equals $\$ 7,853$ per month (approximately $\$ 94,200$ annually) for the fiscal years ending 2016 through 2019. Total payments in fiscal year 2016-17 including charges for color images were $\$ 113,132$. The agreement is non-cancellable and is subject to renewal on an annual basis after the 60 -month term is over.

The District is negotiating an additional service agreement with Pacific Office Automation beginning August 2017 and ending July 2022 for one additional color copier at the new Knott Creek School location. The service terms are the same as the current agreement. Future minimum commitment equals $\$ 133$ per month (approximately \$1,600 annually) for the fiscal years ending 2018 through 2022.

## J. Long-Term liabilities

At the end of the current fiscal year, the District made a final payment for its general obligation bond. The District had one remaining bond issue with a total debt outstanding of $\$ 28,200,000$.

## 1. Limited Tax Pension Bonds

In February 2004, the District participated as one of twenty Oregon school districts and education service districts in issuing limited tax pension bonds. The proceeds were used to finance a portion of the estimated unfunded actuarial liability of each participating school district with the PERS. The Oregon School Boards Association (OSBA) sponsored this pooled limited tax pension bond program. The OSBA does not have a financial obligation in connection with the bonds issued under the program. Except for the payment of its pension bond payments and additional charges when due, each participating school district has no obligation or liability to any other participating school district's pension bonds or liabilities to PERS. The debt service activity is reflected as "employee benefit" expense in all funds with wages and as revenue for services to other funds in the Debt Service Fund. The actual debt service payments are then recorded as a debt service expenditure of the Debt Service Fund. The District anticipates the total costs of financing the District's actuarial obligation in this manner will result in a significant savings to the District when compared to paying for such costs as additional contribution rates to PERS.

The Limited Tax Pension Obligations, Series 2004 bonds had a remaining balance of $\$ 28,200,000$ at June 30, 2017. For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District's annual debt service for the limited tax pension bonds included $\$ 1,170,000$ of principal, and $\$ 1,605,021$ of interest. Total debt service payments for the years 2017, 2016 and 2015 were $\$ 2.8$ million, $\$ 2.6$ million, and $\$ 2.5$ million, respectively.

The series 2004 bonds maturing in the years 2015 through 2028 are subject to optional prepayment, in whole or in part, on any date after June 30, 2010. The series 2004 bonds maturing on June 30, 2028 are subject to mandatory prepayment beginning June 30, 2023.

## 2. General Obligation Bonds

The second issue was the 2004 Refunding of 1997 full faith credit obligations. This was a general obligation bond issue and funds were transferred from the Operating Fund to the Debt Service Fund each year to pay the annual debt service. Proceeds from the original issue were used to construct Alpha High (now Burlingame Creek) School and Arata Creek School. For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District's debt service payment was $\$ 500,000$ of principal and $\$ 19,500$ of interest.

Total principal and interest paid on the two bonds during the year ended June 30, 2017 was \$3,294,520. The changes in long-term debt, for the year ended June 30, 2017 are as follows:

|  | OSBA Pension Bonds |  | 2004 GO Bonds | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Amount | \$ 33,140,000 | \$ | 4,570,000 | \$37,710,000 |
| Balance at June 30, 2016 | \$ 29,370,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ 29,870,000 |
| Payments | (1,170,000) |  | $(500,000)$ | $(1,670,000)$ |
| Balance at June 30, 2017 | \$ 28,200,000 | \$ | - | \$ 28,200,000 |

The future principal and interest payments on long-term debt are as follows:

| Beginning July 1, | Ending June 30, | OSBA Pension Bond | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2018 | 1,375,000 | \$ 1,545,082 |
| 2018 | 2019 | 1,595,000 | 1,473,266 |
| 2019 | 2020 | 1,835,000 | 1,388,364 |
| 2020 | 2021 | 2,095,000 | 1,289,770 |
| 2021 | 2022 | 2,375,000 | 1,176,158 |
| 2022 | 2027 | 16,990,000 | 3,558,097 |
| 2027 | 2028 | 1,935,000 | 106,967 |
| TOTAL |  | \$ 28,200,000 | \$ 10,537,704 |

## K. Interfund receivables and payables

The District has one interfund balance as of June 30, 2017:
Due tolfrom other funds:

$$
\frac{\text { Receivable Fund }}{\text { General Fund }} \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\text { Payable Fund } & \text { Amount } \\
\text { Contracted Services } & \$ 6,028,451
\end{array}
$$

The balance is principally due to the timing of reimbursable expenditures for grants and contracts.

## L. Interfund transfers

Interfund transfers are used to fund operations in the various accounts. The composition of interfund transfers as of June 30, 2017 is as follows:

| Transfers In | Transfers Out |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resolution Services |  | General Fund |  | Contracted Services |  |  |  |
| General Fund | \$ | 4,018,720 | \$ |  | \$ | 437,625 | \$ | 4,456,345 |
| Other Governmental Funds |  | - |  | 519,500 |  | - |  | 519,500 |
| Total | \$ | 4,018,720 | \$ | 519,500 | \$ | 437,625 |  | 4,975,845 |

- The Resolution Services Fund transferred $\$ 3,888,720$ to the General Fund in order to fund the District's indirect and support service functions.
- The Resolution Services Fund transferred $\$ 130,000$ to the General Fund to fund capital expenditures.
- The General Fund transferred $\$ 519,500$ to other governmental funds to cover debt service principal and interest payments.
- The Contracted Services Fund transferred $\$ 251,346$ to the General Fund for building improvements and unanticipated maintenance \& repairs.
- The Contracted Services Fund transferred $\$ 72,181$ to the General Fund to support a School Wellness Coordinator and $\$ 114,098$ to purchase vans for Special Education programs.


## M. Fund balance classifications

## 1. General Fund

Operating Fund revenues are classified as Unassigned by default, unless it is clear that revenues should be assigned, or committed. In the case that there is a budgeted deficit for the following year, the portion of fund balance budgeted to be used is considered Assigned in the current year's statement.

The Risk Management Fund is designated by Board Resolution to hold a reserve to help offset future PERS costs and to fund risk management activities. All remaining fund balance is considered Committed.

The Facilities and Equipment Reserve Fund is designated by Board Resolution to hold a reserve for facility \& technology equipment maintenance, improvements, and acquisitions. Individual expenditures do not require specific Board approval, but the funds are "earmarked" for a purpose. Thus, the remaining balance is considered Committed.

## 2. Resolution Services Fund

The Resolution Fund is used to account for $90 \%$ of the dollars received from the State School Fund Grant and local property taxes and is required to be allocated to the component districts to purchases services approved by board resolution on the Local Service Plan or taken as transit. Thus, all balances are considered Restricted.

## 3. Contracted Services Fund

Per definition of a special revenue fund, all revenues are considered assigned unless restricted by an external source (grantors, legislation) or committed by the Board. This fund uses a combination of assigned and restricted funds. Restricted balances are the remaining amounts of grants, donations, or
other revenues restricted for specific purposes. The remaining balance of the fund is considered Assigned by District management to support student and program services and innovation.

## 4. Debt Service Fund

The Debt Service Fund is used to account for the District's long-term debt and the funds are restricted to only be used for debt service payments. Thus, the remaining balance is considered Restricted.

## N. Property tax limitations

The state of Oregon imposes a constitutional limit on property taxes for schools and non-school government operations. School operations include community colleges, local school districts, and education service districts. The limitation provides that property taxes for school operations are limited to $\$ 5.00$ for each $\$ 1,000$ of property market value. This limitation does not apply to taxes levied for principal and interest on general obligation bonded debt. The result of this requirement has been that school districts have become more dependent upon state funding and less dependent upon property tax revenues as their major source of operating revenue.

The State further reduced property taxes by replacing the previous constitutional limits on tax bases with a rate and value limit in 1997. This reduction was accomplished by rolling property values back to their 1995-96 values less $10 \%$ and limiting future tax value growth of each property to no more than $3 \%$ per year, subject to certain exceptions. Taxes levied to support bonded debt are exempted from the reductions. The State Constitution sets restrictive voter approval requirements for most tax and many fee increases and new bond issues, and requires the State to minimize the impact to school districts from the impact of the tax cuts.

## O. Tax abatements

## Multnomah County, Oregon, Tax Abatements

District property taxes were reduced by approximately $\$ 593,000$ under agreements entered into by Multnomah County.

## P. Contingencies

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by these agencies, principally the federal government. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the district. The amount, if any, of costs which may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time, although district management expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

On November 26, 2012, the District received notification from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) of a potential liability resulting from MESD's audit for the year ended June 30, 2011. The District is contesting the action and provided documentation to SAMHSA that supports MESD's position. The District is continuing to work with SAMHSA for a resolution. The potential liability is indeterminable at this time, but the District believes the amount will be substantially less than SAMHSA's estimate. If the District is required to pay back any grant funds already collected the amount will come from the General Fund.

## Required Supplementary Information



Multnomah Education Service District Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual<br>Operating Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Original Budget |  | Final Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance to Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sources | \$ | 38,834 | \$ | 38,834 | \$ | 37,124 | \$ | $(1,710)$ |
| Investment Earnings |  | 50,000 |  | 100,000 |  | 126,850 |  | 26,850 |
| Other Revenues |  | 219,170 |  | 233,166 |  | 236,618 |  | 3,452 |
| Charges for Services |  | 1,159,291 |  | 1,078,385 |  | 897,565 |  | $(180,820)$ |
| Total Revenues |  | 1,467,295 |  | 1,450,385 |  | 1,298,157 |  | $(152,228)$ |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support Services |  | 4,535,531 |  | 4,764,386 |  | 4,565,894 |  | 198,492 |
| Enterprise \& Community Svcs. |  | 403,410 |  | 315,839 |  | 220,861 |  | 94,978 |
| Contingencies |  | 25,000 |  | 20,960 |  | - |  | 20,960 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 4,963,941 |  | 5,101,185 |  | 4,786,755 |  | 314,430 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(3,496,646)$ |  | $(3,650,800)$ |  | $(3,488,598)$ |  | 162,202 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers In |  | 4,056,146 |  | 4,202,819 |  | 4,074,999 |  | $(127,820)$ |
| Transfers Out |  | $(559,500)$ |  | $(559,500)$ |  | $(559,500)$ |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | 3,496,646 |  | 3,643,319 |  | 3,515,499 |  | $(127,820)$ |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | - |  | $(7,481)$ |  | 26,901 |  | 34,382 |
| Beginning Fund Balances |  | 3,043,219 |  | 3,050,700 |  | 3,050,700 |  | - |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ | 3,043,219 | \$ | 3,043,219 | \$ | 3,077,601 | \$ | 34,382 |

Reconciliation to financial statements - General Fund Ending Fund Balance:

| Operating Fund | $\$$ | $3,077,601$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Risk Management Reserve Fund | 555,069 |  |
| Facilities and Equipment Reserve Fund | $1,020,402$ |  |
|  | $\$ 4,653,072$ |  |

# Multnomah Education Service District Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual <br> Resolution Services Fund <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 

|  | Original Budget |  | Final Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance to Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes | \$ | 31,125,000 | \$ | 30,897,000 | \$ | 30,858,755 | \$ | $(38,245)$ |
| State School Fund |  | 7,474,687 |  | 8,028,444 |  | 8,028,445 |  | 1 |
| Local Sources |  | 16,500 |  | 16,500 |  | 48,782 |  | 32,282 |
| Federal Sources |  | 181,819 |  | 181,819 |  | 86,523 |  | $(95,296)$ |
| Other Revenues |  | 448,192 |  | 418,538 |  | 655,039 |  | 236,501 |
| Total Revenues |  | 39,246,198 |  | 39,542,301 |  | 39,677,544 |  | 135,243 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instruction |  | 6,200,735 |  | 7,215,857 |  | 6,651,973 |  | 563,884 |
| Support Services |  | 14,972,243 |  | 14,724,042 |  | 14,533,850 |  | 190,192 |
| Enterprise \& Community Svcs. |  | 101,438 |  | 132,438 |  | 132,113 |  | 325 |
| Apportionment of Funds |  | 12,000,000 |  | 14,654,695 |  | 14,429,052 |  | 225,643 |
| Contingencies |  | 3,486,140 |  | 1,966,932 |  | - |  | 1,966,932 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 36,760,556 |  | 38,693,964 |  | 35,746,988 |  | 2,946,976 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | 2,485,642 |  | 848,337 |  | 3,930,556 |  | 3,082,219 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers Out |  | $(3,989,969)$ |  | $(4,022,544)$ |  | $(4,018,720)$ |  | 3,824 |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | $(3,989,969)$ |  | $(4,022,544)$ |  | (4,018,720) |  | 3,824 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(1,504,327)$ |  | $(3,174,207)$ |  | $(88,164)$ |  | 3,086,043 |
| Beginning Fund Balances |  | 1,504,327 |  | 3,174,207 |  | 3,174,207 |  | - |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 3,086,043 | \$ | 3,086,043 |

## Multnomah Education Service District Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual <br> Contracted Services Fund <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Original Budget |  | Final Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance to Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sources | \$ | 7,935,348 | \$ | 9,569,807 | \$ | 7,937,201 | \$ | $(1,632,606)$ |
| State Sources |  | 10,865,044 |  | 9,828,585 |  | 8,879,644 |  | $(948,941)$ |
| Federal Sources |  | 2,042,377 |  | 1,442,771 |  | 2,004,465 |  | 561,694 |
| Sales of Goods \& Services |  | 8,372 |  | 8,134 |  | 1,116 |  | $(7,018)$ |
| Other Revenues |  | 394,883 |  | 500,396 |  | 460,646 |  | $(39,750)$ |
| Total Revenues |  | 21,246,024 |  | 21,349,693 |  | 19,283,072 |  | $(2,066,621)$ |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instruction |  | 9,065,807 |  | 10,038,096 |  | 9,894,823 |  | 143,273 |
| Support Services |  | 6,771,364 |  | 8,190,093 |  | 7,773,585 |  | 416,508 |
| Enterprise \& Community Svcs. |  | 5,487,659 |  | 2,863,513 |  | 2,863,513 |  | - |
| Contingencies |  | 1,071,197 |  | 815,754 |  | - |  | 815,754 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 22,396,027 |  | 21,907,456 |  | 20,531,921 |  | 1,375,535 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(1,150,003)$ |  | $(557,763)$ |  | $(1,248,849)$ |  | $(691,086)$ |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers Out |  | $(196,177)$ |  | $(551,375)$ |  | $(437,625)$ |  | 113,750 |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | $(196,177)$ |  | $(551,375)$ |  | $(437,625)$ |  | 113,750 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(1,346,180)$ |  | $(1,109,138)$ |  | $(1,686,474)$ |  | $(577,336)$ |
| Beginning Fund Balances |  | 3,367,980 |  | 3,130,938 |  | 3,130,938 |  | - |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ | 2,021,800 | \$ | 2,021,800 | \$ | 1,444,464 | \$ | $(577,336)$ |

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Other Post-Employment Benefits <br> June 30, 2017 

## Schedule of Funding Progress

| Actuarial Valuation Date | Actuarial Value of Assets ( a ) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unfunded AAL } \\ & \text { (UAAL) } \\ & \text { ( b-a ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Funded Ratio ( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ ) | Covered Payroll <br> ( c ) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll <br> (b/a)/c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 2008 | \$ | \$ 2,830,915 | \$ 2,830,915 | 0.0\% | \$ 30,403,925 | 9.3\% |
| July 1, 2010 | - | 2,700,107 | 2,700,107 | 0.0\% | 29,876,193 | 9.0\% |
| July 1, 2012 | - | 2,232,402 | 2,232,402 | 0.0\% | 26,792,147 | 8.3\% |
| July 1, 2014 | - | 1,126,581 | 1,126,581 | 0.0\% | 17,575,292 | 6.4\% |
| July 1, 2016 | - | 2,245,489 | 2,245,489 | 0.0\% | 21,174,389 | 10.6\% |

The above table represents the most recent actuarial valuations for the District's post-retirement health and welfare benefits plan and it provides information that approximates the funding progress of the plan.

## Schedule of Employer Contributions

| Fiscal Year Ended June 30 | Annual OPEB Cost | Contribution Made |  | Percentage Contributed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | \$ 318,722 | \$ | 152,655 | $48 \%$ |
| 2010 | 330,397 |  | 167,338 | 51 |
| 2011 | 310,976 |  | 112,552 | 36 |
| 2012 | 322,662 |  | 142,165 | 44 |
| 2013 | 271,107 |  | 91,863 | 34 |
| 2014 | 281,256 |  | 115,450 | 41 |
| 2015 | 125,505 |  | 22,757 | 18 |
| 2016 | 130,179 |  | 40,962 | 31 |
| 2017 | 248,167 |  | 169,359 | 68 |

The above table presents trend information about the amounts contributed to the plan by the District in comparison to the Annual Required Contribution.

Requests for Information:
A copy of the District's most recent actuarial report of its Other Post Employment Benefit Plan is available by contacting: MESD Business Services, 11611 NE Ainsworth Circle, Portland, OR 97220.

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Asset and Liability Oregon Public Employees Retirement System <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017* 

|  | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District's proportion of the net pension liability (asset) | 0.13028804\% | 0.13043388\% | 0.32781628\% |
| District's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) | \$ 19,559,260 | \$ 7,488,811 | \$ (7,430,660) |
| District's covered-employee payroll | \$ 19,403,174 | \$ 17,575,292 | \$ 18,069,074 |
| District's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll | 100.80\% | 42.61\% | (41.12\%) |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability | 80.53\% | 91.88\% | 103.59\% |

[^0]
## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Schedule of the District's Contributions <br> Oregon Public Employees Retirement System <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017*

|  | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractually required contribution | \$ 613,059 | \$ 609,653 | \$ 2,308,486 |
| Contribution in relation to the contractually required contribution | $(613,059)$ | $(609,653)$ | $(2,308,486)$ |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| District's covered-employee payroll | \$ 21,174,389 | \$ 19,403, 174 | \$ 17,575,292 |
| Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll | 2.90\% | 3.14\% | 13.13\% |

*10-year trend information will be presented as it becomes available.

Multnomah Education Service District Notes to the Required Supplementary Information Year Ended June 30, 2017

## NOTE I - GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY SCHEDULE

The District budgets the Operating Fund, which is considered the main portion of the General Fund. For GAAP purposes the General Fund is considered as a consolidation of the Operating Fund and the Risk Management Reserve and the Facilities and Equipment Reserve Funds. Combining Schedules for these funds are show on pages 60 and 61.

## NOTE II - SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AFFECTING PERS AS OF JUNE 30, 2017

## Changes in Plan Provisions Subsequent to Measurement Date

Paragraph 80f of GASB 68 requires employers to briefly describe any changes between the Measurement Date and the employer's reporting date that are expected to have a significant effect on the employer's share of the collective Net Pension Liability, along with an estimate of the resulting change, if available.

During a July 28, 2017 meeting, the PERS Board lowered the assumed investment rate of return from 7.5\% to $7.2 \%$, effective on January 1, 2018. This rate will be used for the determination of contribution rates beginning July 1, 2019. The Board revises the assumed rate based on the long-term projection of investment returns that can be expected from the asset allocations of the Oregon Investment Council and related capital market expectations. An estimate of the resulting change is not readily available at this time.
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## Other Supplementary Information



# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual <br> Debt Service Fund <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 

|  | Original Budget |  | Final Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance to Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment Earnings | \$ | 5,000 | \$ | 7,371 | \$ | 13,732 | \$ | 6,361 |
| Services to Other Funds |  | 2,775,022 |  | 2,775,022 |  | 2,833,941 |  | 58,919 |
| Total Revenues |  | 2,780,022 |  | 2,782,393 |  | 2,847,673 |  | 65,280 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt Service |  | 3,294,522 |  | 3,294,522 |  | 3,294,520 |  | 2 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 3,294,522 |  | 3,294,522 |  | 3,294,520 |  | 2 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(514,500)$ |  | $(512,129)$ |  | $(446,847)$ |  | 65,282 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers In |  | 519,500 |  | 519,500 |  | 519,500 |  | - |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | 519,500 |  | 519,500 |  | 519,500 |  | - |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | 5,000 |  | 7,371 |  | 72,653 |  | 65,282 |
| Beginning Fund Balances |  | 10,990 |  | 8,619 |  | 8,619 |  | - |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ | 15,990 | \$ | 15,990 | \$ | 81,272 | \$ | 65,282 |

# Multnomah Education Service District 

## Combining Balance Sheet

General Fund
June 30, 2017

|  | Operating | Risk <br> Management Reserve |  | Facilities \& Equipment Reserve |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 128,923 | \$ | 555,069 | \$ | 1,058,747 | \$ 1,742,739 |
| Accounts Receivable | 271,212 |  | - |  | - | 271,212 |
| Due from Other Funds | 6,028,451 |  | - |  | - | 6,028,451 |
| Prepaid Items | 1,062 |  | - |  | - | 1,062 |
| Total Assets | \$ 6,429,648 | \$ | 555,069 | \$ | 1,058,747 | \$ 8,043,464 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts Payable | \$ 176,277 | \$ | - | \$ | 38,345 | \$ 214,622 |
| Accrued Payroll \& Withholdings | 3,175,770 |  | - |  | - | 3,175,770 |
| Total Liabilities | 3,352,047 |  | - |  | 38,345 | 3,390,392 |
| Fund Balances |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonspendable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid Items | 1,062 |  | - |  | - | 1,062 |
| Total Nonspendable Funds | 1,062 |  | - |  | - | 1,062 |
| Committed | - |  | 555,069 |  | 1,020,402 | 1,575,471 |
| Unassigned | 3,076,539 |  | - |  | - | 3,076,539 |
| Total Fund Balances | 3,077,601 |  | 555,069 |  | 1,020,402 | 4,653,072 |
| Total Liabilities and Fund Balances | \$ 6,429,648 | \$ | 555,069 | \$ | 1,058,747 | \$ 8,043,464 |

Multnomah Education Service District<br>Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance<br>General Fund<br>For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Operating | Risk <br> Management Reserve |  | Facilities \& Equipment Reserve |  | Eliminations * |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sources | \$ 37,124 | \$ | - | \$ | 27,892 | \$ | - | \$ | 65,016 |
| State Sources | - |  | - |  | 7,344 |  | - |  | 7,344 |
| Investment Earnings | 126,850 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 126,850 |
| Other Revenues | 236,618 |  | 49,954 |  | 1,124 |  | - |  | 287,696 |
| Services to Other Funds | - |  | 698,744 |  | - |  | - |  | 698,744 |
| Charges for Services | 897,565 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 897,565 |
| Total Revenues | 1,298,157 |  | 748,698 |  | 36,360 |  | - |  | 2,083,215 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support Services | 4,565,894 |  | 683,564 |  | 592,588 |  | - |  | 5,842,046 |
| Enterprise \& Community Svcs. | 220,861 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 220,861 |
| Total Expenditures | 4,786,755 |  | 683,564 |  | 592,588 |  | - |  | 6,062,907 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures | $(3,488,598)$ |  | 65,134 |  | $(556,228)$ |  | - |  | $(3,979,692)$ |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers In | 4,074,999 |  | - |  | 421,346 |  | $(40,000)$ |  | 4,456,345 |
| Transfers Out | $(559,500)$ |  | - |  | - |  | 40,000 |  | $(519,500)$ |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) | 3,515,499 |  | - |  | 421,346 |  | - |  | 3,936,845 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance | 26,901 |  | 65,134 |  | $(134,882)$ |  | - |  | $(42,847)$ |
| Beginning Fund Balances | 3,050,700 |  | 489,935 |  | 1,155,284 |  | - |  | 4,695,919 |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ 3,077,601 | \$ | 555,069 | \$ | 1,020,402 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,653,072 |

[^1]
## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual <br> Risk Management Reserve Fund <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | Original Budget |  | Final Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance to Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Revenues | \$ | 2,500 | \$ | 52,453 | \$ | 49,954 | \$ | $(2,499)$ |
| Services to Other Funds |  | 696,000 |  | 696,000 |  | 698,744 |  | 2,744 |
| Total Revenues |  | 698,500 |  | 748,453 |  | 748,698 |  | 245 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support Services |  | 758,821 |  | 768,821 |  | 683,564 |  | 85,257 |
| Contingencies |  | 369,810 |  | 469,567 |  | - |  | 469,567 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 1,128,631 |  | 1,238,388 |  | 683,564 |  | 554,824 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(430,131)$ |  | $(489,935)$ |  | 65,134 |  | 555,069 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(430,131)$ |  | $(489,935)$ |  | 65,134 |  | 555,069 |
| Beginning Fund Balances |  | 430,131 |  | 489,935 |  | 489,935 |  | - |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 555,069 | \$ | 555,069 |

# Multnomah Education Service District Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual <br> Facilities and Equipment Reserve Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 

|  | Original Budget |  | Final Budget |  | Actual |  | Variance to Final Budget Positive (Negative) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Sources | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 40,000 | \$ | 27,892 | \$ | $(12,108)$ |
| State Sources |  | - |  | - |  | 7,344 |  | 7,344 |
| Other Revenues |  | - |  | - |  | 1,124 |  | 1,124 |
| Total Revenues |  | 40,000 |  | 40,000 |  | 36,360 |  | $(3,640)$ |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support Services |  | 398,323 |  | 686,150 |  | 592,588 |  | 93,562 |
| Facilities Acquisitions/Constr. |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | - |  | 5,000 |
| Contingencies |  | 281,138 |  | 354,673 |  | - |  | 354,673 |
| Total Expenditures |  | 684,461 |  | 1,045,823 |  | 592,588 |  | 453,235 |
| Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures |  | $(644,461)$ |  | $(1,005,823)$ |  | $(556,228)$ |  | 449,595 |
| Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers In |  | 170,000 |  | 411,100 |  | 421,346 |  | 10,246 |
| Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) |  | 170,000 |  | 411,100 |  | 421,346 |  | 10,246 |
| Net Change in Fund Balance |  | $(474,461)$ |  | $(594,723)$ |  | $(134,882)$ |  | 459,841 |
| Beginning Fund Balances |  | 1,035,022 |  | 1,155,284 |  | 1,155,284 |  | - |
| Ending Fund Balances | \$ | 560,561 | \$ | 560,561 | \$ | 1,020,402 | \$ | 459,841 |

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Statement of Changes in Assets and Liabilities 

Agency Fund
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017


[^2]
## OTHER INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY THE OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION, 2016-2017

This page is a required part of the annual audited financial statements.
Part A is needed for computing Oregon's full allocation of ESEA, Title I \& other Federal Funds for Education.
A. Energy Bill for Heating - All Funds:

Please enter your expenditures for electricity \& heating fuel for these Functions \& Objects.

|  | Objects 325 \& 326 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Function 2540 | $\$ 208,887$ |
| Function 2550 | None |

B. Replacement of Equipment - General Fund: Include all General Fund expenditures in object 542 , except for the following exclusions:
None

Exclude these functions:

1113,1122 \& 1132
1140
1300
1400

Co-curricular Activities
Pre-Kindergarten
Continuing Education
Summer School

4150 Construction
2550 Pupil Transportation
3100 Food Service
3300 Community Services

## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Oregon Department of Education

 District Audit Summary - Revenues and Other ResourcesFor the Year Ended June 30, 2017

|  | 100 | 200 | 300 | 700 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODE Major Object <br> MESD Resource/ODE Resource | General <br> Fund | Special <br> Revenue <br> Funds | Debt <br> Service Funds | Trust \& Agency Funds | Total |
| 1000 - Local Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes <br> 1110 - Ad Valorem Taxes <br> 1190 - Penalties \& Interest on Taxes | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,847,506 \\ 11,249 \end{array}$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 30,847,506 \\ 11,249 \end{array}$ |
| Local Sources <br> 1940 - Services to Local Ed Agencies <br> 1990 - Miscellaneous | $\begin{aligned} & 67,640 \\ & 46,159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,936,872 \\ 329 \end{array}$ | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 8,004,512 \\ 46,488 \end{array}$ |
| Investment Earnings 1500 - Earnings on Investments | 126,850 | - | 13,732 | 106 | 140,688 |
| Sales of Goods \& Services <br> 1600 - Food Service <br> 1940 - Services to Local Ed Agencies | - | $\begin{array}{r} 1,057 \\ 59 \end{array}$ | - | - | 1,057 59 |
| Other Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1800 - Community Service Activities | 13,344 | 6,778 | - | - | 20,122 |
| 1920 - Private Contribution/Donations | 12,658 | 108,969 | - | - | 121,627 |
| 1960 - Recover Prior Yrs Expenditures | 99,193 | 471 | - | - | 99,664 |
| 1980 - Fees Charged to Grants | 158,487 | 60,760 | - | 114,035 | 158,487 |
| 1990 - Miscellaneous | 275,429 | 60,760 | - | 114,035 | 450,224 |
| Services to Other Funds 1970 - Services Provided Other Funds | 698,744 | - | 2,833,941 | - | 3,532,685 |
| Overhead Revenues 1980 - Fees Charged to Grants | 897,565 | - | - | - | 897,565 |
| Total 1000-Local Sources | 33,254,824 | 8,115,295 | 2,847,673 | 114,141 | 44,331,933 |

2000 - Intermediate Sources

## Other Revenues

| 2200 - Restricted Revenue | 361,976 | 283,669 | - | - | 645,645 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total 2000 - Intermediate Sources | 361,976 | 283,669 | - | - | 645,645 |

## 3000 - State Sources

## State School Fund

3101 - SSF- General Support $\quad 8,028,445 \quad-\quad-\quad$ - $8,028,445$

## Multnomah Education Service District Oregon Department of Education District Audit Summary - Revenues and Other Resources For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 (continued)

|  | 100 | 200 | 300 | 700 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODE Major Object <br> MESD Resource/ODE Resource | General <br> Fund | Special Revenue Funds | Debt <br> Service Funds | Trust \& Agency Funds | Total |
| State Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3102 - SSF - School Lunch Match | $(2,292)$ | 2,292 | - | - |  |
| 3199 - Other Unrestricted Grants | 7,344 | - | - | - | 7,344 |
| 3299 - Other Restricted Grants Aid | - | 8,877,352 | - | - | 8,877,352 |
| Total 3000-State Sources | 8,033,497 | 8,879,644 | - | - | 16,913,141 |
| 4000 - Federal Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 - Miscellaneous (Medicaid) | 86,523 | 813,035 | - | - | 899,558 |
| 4300 - Fed Restricted Revenue | - | 235,425 | - | - | 235,425 |
| 4500 - Federal Restric Rev Thru State | - | 956,005 | - | - | 956,005 |
| Total 4000 - Federal Sources | 86,523 | 2,004,465 | - | - | 2,090,988 |
| 5000 - Other Sources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910 - Rentals | 23,940 | - | - | - | 23,940 |
| Transfers In |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Resolution Services | 4,018,720 | - | - | - | 4,018,720 |
| From Contract Services | 437,625 | - | - | - | 437,625 |
| From Operating | 40,000 | - | 519,500 | - | 559,500 |
| Fund Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5400 - Beginning Fund Balance | 7,870,126 | 3,130,938 | 8,619 | 178,432 | 11,188,115 |
| Total 5000-Other Sources | 12,390,411 | 3,130,938 | 528,119 | 178,432 | 16,227,900 |
| Grand Total | \$ 54,127,231 | \$ 22,414,011 | \$ 3,375,792 | \$ 292,573 | \$ 80,209,607 |

## Notes:

1. ODE General Fund includes the Resolution, Operating, Risk Management Reserve, and Facilities \& Equipment Reserve Funds.
2. Transfers In includes transfers within the General Fund.
3. Slight differences from other financial statements and schedules may occur due to rounding.
Multnomah Education Service District
District Audit Summary - Expenditures \& Other Uses For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

ODE General Fund (Resolution Services, Operating, Risk Management and Facilities \& Equipment Reserve Funds) 1121 - Middle/Junior High Programs
1220 - Restrictive Prgms- Disabilitie
1260 - Treatment \& Habilitation
1292 - Teen Parent Program
1294 - Youth Correction
Total Instruction
Support Services
355,606
49,373
$7,245,271$
122,765
557,778
329,575
$1,537,069$
281,485
159,247
454,416
360,228
622,236
169,982
762,411
$1,379,182$
171,510
788,049
3,079
146,237


| 231,020 | 118,194 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 33,798 | 14,843 |
| $4,718,139$ | $2,349,205$ |
| 68,076 | 25,307 |
| 366,841 | 135,741 |
| 199,270 | 92,434 |
| 946,852 | 442,725 |
| 166,175 | 66,458 |
| - | - |
| 286,586 | 128,006 |
| 243,754 | 111,055 |
| 301,226 | 213,424 |
| 108,688 | 58,143 |
| 430,079 | 229,912 |
| 333,443 | 212,208 |
| - | - |
| 142,893 | 74,792 |
| - | - |
| 95,000 | 35,747 |

2. Slight differences from other financial statements and schedules may occur due to rounding.
Multnomah Education Service District

|  | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 700 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODE Fund | Salaries | Employee Benefits | Purchased Services |  <br> Materials | Capital Outlay | Other Objects | Transfers | Total |
| Major Function/ODE Function |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Multnomah Education Service District
District Audit Summary－Expenditures \＆Other Uses For the Year Ended June 30， 2017 （continued）


| E78 ${ }^{\text {c }} 668^{6} 6$ |  | 9IL＇S8I |  | SZ6 9 ES |  | 0Z8 ${ }^{6}$ I |  | 088 ${ }^{\text {L }}$ IZS |  | ［0s ${ }^{6} 897$ |  | $950689^{6} 乙$ |  | $976^{6}$ ZES ${ }^{\text {c }}$ S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| でナ9「でし |  | － |  | 9796 |  | － |  | OS |  | $869^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ I |  | 8L8 $8^{\text {² }}$ |  | $69 \mathcal{E}^{\text {c }} \angle 9$ |  |
| ャ86 L9 $^{\text {c }}$ I |  | － |  | $06 \nabla^{\circ} \mathrm{ZLI}$ |  | $078{ }^{\text {c }} 9$ |  | てヤE์IEZ |  | 0Lで乌9 |  | $\angle \nabla \nabla^{\circ} 0$ LV |  | ヤ $299^{\text {c }}$ IZ0 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{E}$ I8 $8^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ IE |  | － |  | $900^{\prime} 9$ Z |  | － |  | $90 \mathcal{E}^{\text {c }} 9$ |  | － $299^{\circ} \downarrow$ C |  | E09 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 8$ |  | $\triangleright て Z{ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢ LI |  |
| 0 ［8＊08E |  | － |  | ヤヤ9 |  | － |  | 0 I I＇8＞ |  | 019 $9^{\text {¢ }}$ I |  | ［8E＇9¢ |  | ¢90 6 \％Z |  |
| $0 \varepsilon 1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | L9I |  | て10＇乙 |  | IS $6^{\circ} \mathrm{Z}$ |  |
| 90 Z |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 902 |  | － |  | － |  |
| ¢S8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathcal{E}$ I |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 09L＇I |  | IZて |  | $0 t S^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $\downarrow \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} 6$ |  |
| 9て6＇IIE |  | 9IL＇S8I |  | $\varepsilon 9 て ゙ \triangleright て$ |  | － |  | 0 |  | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ |  | － $20{ }^{\text {c }}$ It |  | ¢98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{SS}$ |  |
| ［98 ${ }^{\text {c }} 9$ r＇$^{\text {9 }}$ |  | － |  | IEL＇86乙 |  | $000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ |  | EL8゙ゅで |  | $6 S^{\text {c }}$ SEI |  | ¢てE＇Z06 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ I |  | ZLE $669^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| EZ9＇9てE |  | － |  | 0LI |  | － |  | カヤE์ $\dagger$ |  | $8 て \downarrow$ 「てI |  | 9IでてE |  | ¢9才＇¢9 I |  |
| ヤL6＇S8I | \＄ | － | \＄ | EL6 $6^{\circ} \mathrm{t}$ | \＄ | － | \＄ | $760^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ | \＄ | IZL＇${ }^{\text {c }}$ 8 | \＄ | $6 L S^{\text {c }}$ ¢ 1 | \＄ | $909^{\circ} 8 \mathrm{~L}$ | \＄ |

[^4]
Multnomah Education Service District

|  | 100 | 200 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 700 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODE Fund | Salaries | Employee Benefits | Purchased Services |  <br> Materials | Capital Outlay | Other Objects | Transfers | Total |
| Major Function/ODE Function |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 9 4}, 520$ | $\$$ | - | $\$ 3,294,520$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


otes:
This report includes transfers within the General fund.
2. Slight differences from other financial statements and schedules may occur due to rounding.
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## STATISTICAL SECTION



# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Statistical Section <br> Table of Contents 

This part of the Multnomah ESD's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required and other supplementary information says about the ESD's overall financial health.

## Contents <br> Page

Financial Trends

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the MESD's financial performance and well-being have changed over time

Revenue Capacity
These schedules contain information to help the reader assess the MESD's most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

Debt Capacity
These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of the MESD's current levels of outstanding debt and the MESD's ability to issue additional debt in the future.

Demographic and Economic Information
These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which the MESD's financial activities take place.

Operating Information

These schedules contain services and infrastructure data to help the reader understand how the information in the MESD's financial report relates to the services it provides and the activities it performs.

## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Statement of Net Position <br> Last 10 Years

Assets
Cash and Cash Equivalents
Cash with County Treasurer
Property Tax Receivable
Accounts Receivable
Inventory
Prepaid Items
Prepaid Pension Cost, Net of Amortization
Bond Issuance Costs, Net of Amortization
Net Pension Asset
Capital Assets, Net of Depreciation
Total Assets

|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 29,010,637 | \$ | 25,052,367 | \$ | 20,699,809 | \$ | 20,546,394 |
|  | 166,993 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,172,720 |  | 1,385,301 |  | 1,401,273 |  | 1,335,975 |
|  | 4,332,536 |  | 7,928,544 |  | 8,578,790 |  | 8,760,115 |
|  | 1,054,156 |  | 1,029,202 |  | 982,728 |  | 788,838 |
|  | 319,333 |  | 63,881 |  | 13,580 |  | 28,883 |
|  | 26,757,783 |  | 25,349,424 |  | 23,941,123 |  | 22,532,822 |
|  | 151,889 |  | 186,535 |  | 176,172 |  | 165,809 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 10,825,967 |  | 11,179,845 |  | 11,046,679 |  | 10,562,297 |
|  | 73,792,014 |  | 72,175,099 |  | 66,840,154 |  | 64,721,133 |

Deferred Outflows of Resources
Pension Contributions After Measurement Date
Deferred Pension Differences
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources

## Liabilities

Accounts Payable
Accrued Payroll, Taxes and Withholdings
Accrued Compensated Absences Payables
Debt Service Due Within One Year
Non-Current Liabilities:
Other Post-Employment Benefits
Net Pension Liability
Debt Service Due in More than One Year
Total Liabilities


| 684,144 | $7,630,175$ | $5,839,512$ | $5,304,091$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3,671,940$ | $2,719,152$ | $3,685,714$ | $3,588,748$ |
| 159,481 | 173,731 | 170,310 | 144,726 |
| 440,000 | 589,386 | 716,353 | 858,679 |
|  |  |  |  |
| - | 166,067 | 329,126 | 527,550 |
| - | - | - | - |
| $36,785,000$ | $36,462,815$ | $35,749,795$ | $34,891,116$ |
| $41,740,565$ | $47,741,326$ |  |  |

Deferred Inflows of Resources
Deferred Pension Differences
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources

Net position:
Net Investment in Capital Assets
Restricted
Unrestricted
TOTAL NET POSITION

*** Restrictions not presented these years

## Multnomah Education Service District Statement of Net Position (continued) Last 10 Years

| 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 17,456,964 | \$ | 12,253,325 | \$ | 8,593,537 | \$ | 11,352,584 | \$ | 6,336,374 | \$ | 4,439,028 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,817,881 |  | 1,826,573 |  | 1,903,843 |  | 1,991,612 |  | 2,086,019 |  | 2,211,265 |
|  | 6,911,998 |  | 9,222,522 |  | 8,653,141 |  | 9,042,203 |  | 8,556,654 |  | 11,303,077 |
|  | 58,630 |  | 24,600 |  | 21,597 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 57,644 |  | 19,944 |  | 34,334 |  | 19,944 |  | 1,062 |  | 1,062 |
|  | 21,124,520 |  | 19,716,219 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | 155,446 |  | 145,082 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 7,430,660 |  | - |  | - |
|  | 10,079,616 |  | 9,651,813 |  | 9,214,678 |  | 8,795,898 |  | 8,493,062 |  | 8,304,254 |
|  | 57,662,699 |  | 52,860,078 |  | 28,421,130 |  | 38,632,901 |  | 25,473,171 |  | 26,258,686 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 2,337,728 |  | 2,308,486 |  | 801,756 |  | 489,713 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,014,468 |  | 9,154,568 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 2,337,728 |  | 2,308,486 |  | 1,816,224 |  | 9,644,281 |
|  | 3,818,050 |  | 2,821,681 |  | 1,294,112 |  | 6,278,389 |  | 1,319,153 |  | 3,576,346 |
|  | 3,925,315 |  | 3,945,178 |  | 3,013,825 |  | 3,255,290 |  | 2,865,051 |  | 3,175,770 |
|  | 129,957 |  | 151,029 |  | 154,983 |  | 141,446 |  | 147,778 |  | 135,523 |
|  | 1,011,101 |  | 1,125,000 |  | 1,300,000 |  | 1,485,000 |  | 1,670,000 |  | 1,375,000 |
|  | 708,047 |  | 887,291 |  | 1,053,097 |  | 1,155,845 |  | 1,245,062 |  | 1,323,870 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 16,728,945 |  | - |  | 7,488,811 |  | 19,559,260 |
|  | 33,880,015 |  | 32,655,000 |  | 31,355,000 |  | 29,870,000 |  | 28,200,000 |  | 26,825,000 |
|  | 43,472,485 |  | 41,585,179 |  | 54,899,962 |  | 42,185,970 |  | 42,935,855 |  | 55,970,769 |


| - |  |  | - |  | - |  | 14,986,419 |  | 5,466,050 |  | 3,366,717 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,986,419 |  | 5,466,050 |  | 3,366,717 |
|  | 7,518,499 |  | 7,691,813 |  | 7,724,678 |  | 7,795,898 |  | 7,993,062 |  | 8,304,254 |
|  | 1,410,941 |  | 2,102,018 |  | 1,984,374 |  | 2,359,871 |  | 3,426,471 |  | 3,281,560 |
|  | 5,260,774 |  | 1,481,068 |  | $(33,850,156)$ |  | $(26,386,771)$ |  | $(32,532,043)$ |  | $(35,020,333)$ |
| \$ | 14,190,214 | \$ | 11,274,899 | \$ | $(24,141,104)$ | \$ | $(16,231,002)$ | \$ | (21,112,510) | \$ | $(23,434,519)$ |

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Changes in Net Position 

Last 10 Years

|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charges for Services | \$ | 8,251,120 | \$ | 13,205,946 | \$ | 8,092,199 | \$ | 12,999,332 |
| Operating Grants and Contributions |  | 19,192,550 |  | 19,407,801 |  | 18,785,136 |  | 20,140,915 |
| General Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes |  | 22,940,470 |  | 23,561,749 |  | 24,505,818 |  | 25,075,966 |
| State School Fund - General Support |  | 10,692,471 |  | 8,298,709 |  | 8,033,770 |  | 4,222,969 |
| Earnings on Investments |  | 1,407,155 |  | 576,777 |  | 171,510 |  | 108,512 |
| Federal Stimulus |  | - |  | 907,566 |  | 2,601,970 |  | 2,550,138 |
| Loss on disposition of Capital Assets |  | 778 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous |  | 5,707,678 |  | 1,422,965 |  | 2,566,822 |  | 3,314,562 |
| Total Revenues |  | 68,192,222 |  | 67,381,513 |  | 64,757,225 |  | 68,412,394 |

## Expenses:

| Instruction | $16,977,374$ |  | $15,083,185$ | $16,371,149$ | $17,569,453$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Support Services | $37,284,143$ |  | $40,395,972$ | $37,705,025$ | $37,152,822$ |
| Enterprise and Community Services | $2,315,736$ | $2,651,994$ | $2,782,432$ | $3,524,849$ |  |
| Facilities Repairs and Maintenance | - | - | 489,409 | 2,685 |  |
| Apportionment of Funds | $9,492,872$ | $10,218,146$ | $9,297,814$ | $8,916,168$ |  |
| Unallocated Depreciation | - | 267,711 | 273,357 | 286,200 |  |
| Interest on Long-Term Debt | - | $1,956,306$ | $1,936,735$ | $1,922,468$ | $1,903,338$ |
| Total Expenses |  | $68,026,431$ | $70,553,743$ | $68,841,654$ | $69,355,515$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| CHANGE IN NET POSITION |  | 165,791 |  | $(3,172,230)$ |  | $(4,084,429)$ |  | $(943,121)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NET POSITION, beginning of year |  | 27,440,212 * |  | 27,606,003 |  | 24,433,773 |  | 20,349,344 |
| NET POSITION, end of year | \$ | 27,606,003 | \$ | 24,433,773 | \$ | 20,349,344 | \$ | 19,406,223 |

* Restated


# Multnomah Education Service District Changes in Net Position (continued) <br> Last 10 Years 

| 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ \quad \begin{array}{r} 10,268,887 \\ 20,066,049 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,776,757 \\ & 24,018,999 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,683,179 \\ & 9,930,046 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,503,702 \\ 11,292,867 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,894,336 \\ 11,174,844 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,181,813 \\ 10,837,110 \end{array}$ |
| 25,980,967 |  | 26,195,536 |  | 27,098,503 |  | 28,507,647 |  | 29,800,797 |  | 30,967,342 |
| 4,657,196 |  | 5,315,956 |  | 6,850,158 |  | 6,307,067 |  | 8,594,610 |  | 8,028,445 |
| 100,886 |  | 79,693 |  | 79,432 |  | 81,783 |  | 82,524 |  | 140,582 |
| 895,484 |  | 299,974 |  | 6,500 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 3,055,618 |  | 3,079,530 |  | 2,774,348 |  | 3,345,660 |  | 923,217 |  | 407,205 |
| 65,025,087 |  | 69,766,445 |  | 56,422,166 |  | 59,038,726 |  | 59,470,328 |  | 59,562,497 |


| $19,126,964$ | $17,718,338$ | $12,030,218$ | $9,280,858$ | $14,303,404$ | $15,463,643$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $35,744,950$ | $35,535,887$ | $26,226,125$ | $20,364,171$ | $28,690,506$ | $27,070,464$ |
| $3,086,517$ | $3,624,992$ | $5,241,283$ | $6,121,464$ | $5,074,232$ | $3,031,475$ |
| 45,672 | 110,488 | 235,065 | - | - | - |
| $10,065,731$ | $13,555,146$ | $13,321,333$ | $13,288,421$ | $14,322,082$ | $14,429,052$ |
| 293,828 | 294,277 | 282,651 | 322,716 | 268,615 | 265,352 |
| $1,877,434$ | $1,842,632$ | $1,802,360$ | $1,750,994$ | $1,692,997$ | $1,624,520$ |
| $70,241,096$ |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $(5,216,009)$ |  | (2,915,315) |  | $(2,716,869)$ |  | 7,910,102 |  | $(4,881,508)$ |  | (2,322,009) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19,406,223 |  | 14,190,214 |  | 11,274,899 |  | $(24,141,104)$ |  | $(16,231,002)$ |  | 1,112,510) |
| \$ | 14,190,214 | \$ | 11,274,899 | \$ | 8,558,030 | \$ | $(16,231,002)$ |  | $(21,112,510)$ |  | $(23,434,519)$ |

The beginning net position for 2014-15 fiscal year reflects the change in the District's proportionate share of the PERS net pension liability as of June 30, 2014.

Multnomah Education Service District Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance<br>Governmental Funds<br>Last 10 Years

## Revenues

Property Taxes
State School Fund
Local Sources
State Sources
School Improvement Fund
Federal Sources
Investment Earnings
Sales of Goods \& Services
SB1149 Proceeds
Other Revenues
Overhead Revenues
Total Revenues
Expenditures
Instruction
Support Services
Enterprise \& Community Services
Facilities Repairs and Maintenance
Debt Service
Principal
Interest \& fiscal charges
Apportionment of Funds
Total Expenditures
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures

| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 22,879,494 | \$ 23,219,411 | \$ 24,511,191 | \$ 25,097,989 |
| 10,695,867 | 8,298,709 | 8,033,770 | 4,222,969 |
| 10,066,970 | 10,670,438 | 5,767,805 | 9,366,606 |
| 14,072,950 | 12,591,487 | 12,676,732 | 14,543,993 |
| - | 965,201 | - | - |
| 5,818,759 | 7,627,019 | 9,568,636 | 9,973,707 |
| 1,407,154 | 576,777 | 171,510 | 108,512 |
| 3,436,068 | 1,884,560 | 1,435,170 | 1,472,190 |
| 1,558,547 | - | - | - |
| 2,033,310 | 2,940,078 | 527,323 | 3,401,405 |
| 381,504 | 8,520,656 | 2,070,415 | 2,205,840 |
| 72,350,623 | 77,294,336 | 64,762,552 | 70,393,211 |

## Multnomah Education Service District Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, Other Financing Sources (Uses), and Changes in Fund Balance (continued) <br> Governmental Funds <br> Last 10 Years

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

# Multnomah Education Service District 

 Fund Balances of Governmental Funds Last 7 Years ${ }^{1}$
## General Fund <br> Nonspendable

|  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 817,721 | \$ | 116,274 | \$ | 44,544 | \$ | 45,981 | \$ | 19,944 |
|  | 573,936 |  | 613,018 |  | 777,333 |  | 2,364,622 |  | 2,076,354 |
|  | - |  | 260,487 |  | 877,867 |  | 767,025 |  | 393,277 |
|  | 5,690,736 |  | 3,403,712 |  | 3,127,231 |  | 3,295,146 |  | 2,495,530 |
| \$ | 7,082,393 | \$ | 4,393,491 | \$ | 4,826,975 | \$ | 6,472,774 | \$ | 4,985,105 |

## Special Revenue Funds



## All other governmental funds

Restricted


Total all other governmental funds $\xlongequal{\$ 1,205,753} \xlongequal{\$ 1,931,715} \xlongequal{\$ 1,799,954} \xlongequal{\$ 135,521} \xlongequal{\$ \quad 5,990}$
General Fund
Nonspendable
Committed
Unassigned
Total general fund

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 1,062 |  | $\$$ | 1,062 |
|  | $1,645,219$ |  | $1,575,471$ |  |
|  | $3,049,638$ |  | $3,076,539$ |  |
|  |  | $4,695,919$ | $\$$ | $4,653,072$ |

## Special Revenue Funds

Restricted
Assigned
Total special revenue funds

All other governmental funds
Restricted
Total all other governmental funds

| \$ $8,6,619$ |
| :--- |
| $\$$ |

*Beginning FY2014, Facilities \& Equipment Reserve Fund classified with General Fund

[^6]Multnomah Education Service District
Assessed Values of Taxable Property within District Boundaries (thousands of dollars)
Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Fiscal Year Ending June 30, | Real Property | Personal Property | Manufactured Structures |  | Public Utility | Total Assessed Value | Add: Non- <br> Profit <br> Housing | Less: Urban Renewal Excess | Total Net <br> Assessed <br> Value | Total Direct Tax Rate | Taxes Imposed (Net Levy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | \$ 49,940,771 | \$ 2,481,730 | \$ | 177,495 | \$ 2,538,071 | \$ 55,138,068 | \$ 29,084 | \$ 3,631,632 | \$ 51,535,520 | 0.4576 | \$ | 23,334 |
| 2009 | 52,500,741 | 2,541,965 |  | 179,815 | 2,593,085 | 57,815,606 | 34,623 | 4,144,209 | 53,706,020 | 0.4576 |  | 24,340 |
| 2010 | 54,717,026 | 2,491,331 |  | 194,671 | 2,785,933 | 60,188,961 | 35,662 | 4,751,822 | 55,472,801 | 0.4576 |  | 25,157 |
| 2011 | 56,411,274 | 2,538,168 |  | 178,194 | 2,819,071 | 61,946,707 | 31,781 | 5,039,772 | 56,938,716 | 0.4576 |  | 25,799 |
| 2012 | 58,201,602 | 2,270,495 |  | 173,117 | 2,902,392 | 63,547,607 | 31,340 | 5,151,161 | 58,427,786 | 0.4576 |  | 26,334 |
| 2013 | 59,740,638 | 2,282,583 |  | 91,700 | 2,858,576 | 64,973,497 | 32,280 | 5,323,183 | 59,682,594 | 0.4576 |  | 26,794 |
| 2014 | 61,787,971 | 2,311,740 |  | 90,338 | 2,990,200 | 67,180,249 | 33,249 | 5,552,060 | 61,661,438 | 0.4576 |  | 27,716 |
| 2015 | 64,489,837 | 2,399,479 |  | 94,908 | 3,294,513 | 70,278,737 | 31,707 | 5,690,908 | 64,619,536 | 0.4576 |  | 29,229 |
| 2016 | 67,307,111 | 2,499,958 |  | 118,063 | 3,405,335 | 73,330,467 | 34,940 | 6,080,697 | 67,284,710 | 0.4576 |  | 30,509 |
| 2017 | 70,174,063 | 2,627,602 |  | 128,002 | 3,802,234 | 76,731,901 | 35,895 | 6,804,942 | 69,962,854 | 0.4576 |  | 31,763 |

Notes:

1. Property taxes are based on an assessed value which is defined as the lower of "maximum assessed value" or "real market value". Assessed values are limited to 3 percent annual increases. 2. The net levy is the actual imposed tax after adjustments and constitutional property tax limitations due to the passing of Measure 5 in 1990 and Measure 50 in 1997.

Sources.
Oregon Property Tax Statistics Supplement for the appropriate fiscal year. Values are the combined total for the taxing district, "Multnomah ESD", in Multnomah, Clackamas, and

# Multnomah Education Service District Direct and Overlapping Property Tax Rates <br> Last Ten Fiscal Years 

| District | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MESD Direct Rate | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 |
|  |  |  | Overlapping Districts Property Tax Rates Extended (Operations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multnomah County | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 | 4.3434 |
| Regional Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multnomah Co. Library | 1.1800 | 1.1800 | 1.1800 | 1.1800 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Metro | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 | 0.0966 |
| Port of Portland | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 | 0.0701 |
| East Multnomah SWCD | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.1000 | 0.0877 | 0.0715 |
| West Multnomah SWCD | 0.0750 | 0.0750 | 0.0750 | 0.0750 | 0.0750 | 0.0732 | 0.0469 | 0.0391 | 0.0369 | 0.0378 |
| Average Rate | 0.3043 | 0.3043 | 0.3043 | 0.3043 | 0.0854 | 0.0850 | 0.0784 | 0.0765 | 0.0728 | 0.0690 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portland | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 | 4.5770 |
| Fairvew | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 | 3.4902 |
| Gresham | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 | 3.6129 |
| Maywood Park | 1.9300 | 1.6190 | 1.3284 | 1.1482 | 1.7504 | 1.9500 | 1.5055 | 0.8468 | 0.4053 | 0.6502 |
| Troutdale | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 | 3.7652 |
| Wood Village | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 | 3.1262 |
| Average Rate | 3.4169 | 3.3651 | 3.3167 | 3.2866 | 3.3870 | 3.4203 | 3.3462 | 3.2364 | 3.1628 | 3.2036 |


| Education Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centennial SD No. 28J | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 | 4.7448 |
| Corbett SD No. 39 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 | 4.5941 |
| David Douglas SD No. 40 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 | 4.6394 |
| GreshamBarlow SD No.10J | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 | 4.5268 |
| Parkrose SD No. 3 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 | 4.8906 |
| Portland SD No. 1J | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 | 5.2781 |
| Reynolds SD No. 7 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 | 4.4626 |
| Riverdale SD No. 51J | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 | 3.8149 |
| Mt. Hood Comm. College | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 | 0.4917 |
| Portland Comm. College | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 | 0.2828 |
| Average Rate | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 | 3.7726 |
| Rural Fire Protection Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multnomah RFPD No. 10 | 2.8527 | 2.8527 | 2.8527 | 2.7500 | 2.7500 | 2.7500 | 2.7500 | 2.7500 | 2.7500 | 2.7500 |
| Riverdale RFPD No. 11J | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 | 1.2361 |
| Multnomah RFPD No. 14 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 | 1.2624 |
| Average Rate | 1.7837 | 1.7837 | 1.7837 | 1.7495 | 1.7495 | 1.7495 | 1.7495 | 1.7495 | 1.7495 | 1.7495 |
| Water Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alto Park | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 | 1.5985 |
| Burlington | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 | 3.4269 |
| Corbett | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 | 0.5781 |
| Lusted | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 | 0.2423 |
| Valley View | 1.0546 | 1.0893 | 1.1339 | 1.2033 | 1.2380 | 1.0408 | 1.0243 | 1.0558 | 1.0408 | 0.8478 |
| Average Rate | 1.3801 | 1.3870 | 1.3959 | 1.4098 | 1.4168 | 1.3773 | 1.3740 | 1.3803 | 1.3773 | 1.3387 |
| Total Direct and Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlapping Rate | 15.4587 | 15.4138 | 15.3742 | 15.3239 | $\underline{15.2122}$ | 15.2056 | 15.1217 | 15.0162 | 14.9360 | 14.9344 |

[^7]
# Multnomah Education Service District Principal Property Taxpayers in Multnomah County 

Taxing District: 304 Multnomah ESD
Current Year and Nine Years Prior

| $\underline{\text { Ten Largest Taxpayers }}$ | Tax Year 2016-2017 |  |  |  | Tax Year 2007-2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Taxable Assessed Value | Rank | Percentage of Total Taxable Assessed Value |  |  | Rank | Percentage of Total Taxable Assessed Value |
| Port of Portland | \$ | 554,656,270 | 1 | 0.81\% | \$ | 338,956,500 | 2 | 0.67 \% |
| Comcast Corporation |  | 456,141,600 | 2 | 0.67 |  |  |  |  |
| Portland General Electric Co. |  | 414,685,300 | 3 | 0.61 |  | 344,552,330 | 1 | 0.68 |
| Alaska Airlines, Inc |  | 368,405,400 | 4 | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |
| PacificCorp (PP\&L) |  | 349,359,000 | 5 | 0.51 |  | 219,067,000 | 4 | 0.44 |
| Weston Investment Co LLC |  | 272,190,380 | 6 | 0.40 |  | 217,939,660 | 5 |  |
| AT\&T, Inc |  | 249,665,000 | 7 | 0.37 |  |  |  |  |
| CenturyLink |  | 214,614,500 | 8 | 0.31 |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest Airlines Co |  | 199,287,200 | 9 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |
| Evraz Inc NA |  | 197,772,190 | 10 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |
| Qwest Wireless |  |  |  |  |  | 294,758,520 | 3 | 0.59 |
| Boeing Employees (Company) |  |  |  |  |  | 179,298,050 | 6 | 0.36 |
| Oregon Steel Mills Inc |  |  |  |  |  | 170,274,330 | 7 | 0.34 |
| Northwest Natural Gas Co |  |  |  |  |  | 163,401,450 | 8 | 0.32 |
| LC Portland LLC |  |  |  |  |  | 148,570,890 | 9 | 0.30 |
| Freightliner LLC |  |  |  |  |  | 131,388,390 | 10 | 0.26 |
| All Other Taxpayers |  | 65,082,410,713 |  | 95.21 |  | 48,142,569,703 |  | 95.61 |
| Total Assessed Value Multnomah County Only |  | $\underline{ }$ |  |  |  | $\underline{\text { 50,350,776,823 }}$ |  |  |

# Multnomah Education Service District Property Tax Levies and Collections by County Last Ten Years 

| Fiscal Year Ending | Net Taxes Levied for the | Collected within the Fiscal Year of the Levy |  | Collections in Subsequent | Total Collections to Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount Collected | Percentage of Levy |  | Amou | Percentag of Levy |

Multnomah County

| 2008 | $\$ 22,859,897$ | $\$ 22,189,364$ | $97.07 \%$ | $\$ 668,243$ | $\$ 22,857,606$ | $99.99 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2009 | $23,825,482$ | $22,974,392$ | 96.43 | 847,821 | $23,822,213$ | 99.99 |
| 2010 | $24,606,025$ | $23,830,177$ | 96.85 | 682,476 | $24,512,653$ | 99.62 |
| 2011 | $25,234,019$ | $24,533,698$ | 97.22 | 598,772 | $25,132,470$ | 99.60 |
| 2012 | $25,824,749$ | $25,022,896$ | 96.90 | 637,890 | $25,660,786$ | 99.37 |
| 2013 | $26,275,966$ | $25,557,305$ | 97.26 | 565,511 | $26,122,816$ | 99.42 |
| 2014 | $27,116,896$ | $26,411,612$ | 97.40 | 497,063 | $26,908,675$ | 99.23 |
| 2015 | $28,582,154$ | $27,909,538$ | 97.65 | 394,441 | $28,303,979$ | 99.03 |
| 2016 | $29,846,772$ | $29,194,891$ | 97.82 | 279,992 | $29,474,883$ | 98.75 |
| 2017 | $31,092,239$ | $30,493,392$ | 98.07 | - | $30,493,392$ | 98.07 |

## Clackamas County

| 2008 | \$ | 425,219 | \$ | 410,585 | 96.56 \% | \$ | 14,577 | \$ | 425,162 | 99.99 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  | 444,187 |  | 425,121 | 95.71 |  | 18,969 |  | 444,090 | 99.98 |
| 2010 |  | 457,997 |  | 440,988 | 96.29 |  | 15,374 |  | 456,363 | 99.64 |
| 2011 |  | 471,706 |  | 456,556 | 96.79 |  | 13,400 |  | 469,956 | 99.63 |
| 2012 |  | 483,368 |  | 467,564 | 96.73 |  | 13,767 |  | 481,331 | 99.58 |
| 2013 |  | 482,300 |  | 468,043 | 97.04 |  | 12,028 |  | 480,071 | 99.54 |
| 2014 |  | 500,200 |  | 487,628 | 97.49 |  | 9,609 |  | 497,237 | 99.41 |
| 2015 |  | 532,312 |  | 519,897 | 97.67 |  | 7,813 |  | 527,710 | 99.14 |
| 2016 |  | 557,285 |  | 545,085 | 97.81 |  | 5,711 |  | 550,796 | 98.84 |
| 2017 |  | 580,627 |  | 569,537 | 98.09 |  | - |  | 569,537 | 98.09 |

## Washington County

| 2008 | \$ | 112,437 | \$ | 109,705 | 97.57 \% | \$ | 2,725 | \$ | 112,430 | 99.99 \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 |  | 116,251 |  | 112,698 | 96.94 |  | 3,546 |  | 116,243 | 99.99 |
| 2010 |  | 120,106 |  | 116,758 | 97.21 |  | 2,934 |  | 119,692 | 99.66 |
| 2011 |  | 123,384 |  | 120,468 | 97.64 |  | 2,501 |  | 122,968 | 99.66 |
| 2012 |  | 126,167 |  | 123,029 | 97.51 |  | 2,712 |  | 125,742 | 99.66 |
| 2013 |  | 128,770 |  | 126,079 | 97.91 |  | 2,170 |  | 128,249 | 99.60 |
| 2014 |  | 133,931 |  | 131,275 | 98.02 |  | 1,962 |  | 133,237 | 99.48 |
| 2015 |  | 139,192 |  | 136,845 | 98.31 |  | 1,359 |  | 138,204 | 99.29 |
| 2016 |  | 143,996 |  | 141,587 | 98.33 |  | 1,258 |  | 142,845 | 99.20 |
| 2017 |  | 148,300 |  | 145,885 | 98.37 |  | - |  | 145,885 | 98.37 |

[^8]
## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Ratios of Outstanding Debt Last Ten Fiscal Years

|  | Governmental Activities Debt |  |  |  |  | Personal Income All Counties (thousands) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ \text { Capita } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal <br> Year <br> Ending <br> June 30 | 2004 G.O. <br> Refunding <br> COP Bonds | Limited Tax <br> Pension <br> Obligations | Capital Lease | $\qquad$ | Percentage of Personal Income |  |  | Population All Counties |
| 2008 | \$ 4,085,000 | \$ 33,140,000 | \$ 307,952 | \$ 37,615,000 | 0.05 \% | \$ | 69,323,788 |  | \$ 24 | 1,599,907 |
| 2009 | 3,685,000 | 33,100,000 | 270,389 | 37,055,389 | 0.06 |  | 65,911,449 | 23 | 1,626,505 |
| 2010 | 3,275,000 | 32,960,000 | 231,179 | 36,466,179 | 0.05 |  | 67,103,583 | 22 | 1,645,884 |
| 2011 | 2,855,000 | 32,705,000 | 189,796 | 35,749,796 | 0.05 |  | 71,716,455 | 21 | 1,667,675 |
| 2012 | 2,415,000 | 32,330,000 | 146,117 | 34,891,117 | 0.05 |  | 76,582,583 | 21 | 1,690,502 |
| 2013 | 1,960,000 | 31,820,000 | - | 33,780,000 | 0.04 |  | 77,777,211 | 20 | 1,710,361 |
| 2014 | 1,490,000 | 31,165,000 | - | 32,655,000 | 0.04 |  | 83,302,183 | 19 | 1,735,657 |
| 2015 | 1,000,000 | 30,355,000 | - | 31,355,000 | 0.04 |  | 88,620,009 | 18 | 1,766,135 |
| 2016 | 500,000 | 29,370,000 | - | 29,870,000 | * |  | * | 17 | 1,779,245 |
| 2017 | - | 28,200,000 | - | 28,200,000 | * |  | * | * | * |

[^9]Notes:

1) Details regarding the District's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the basic financial statements
2) See the Demographics and Economic Statistics schedule for population and income data for Multnomah, Clackamas, and Washington counties.
3) The District does not hold any general bonded debt; therefore, Ratios of General Bonded Debt are not included in this document.

## Multnomah Education Service District <br> Direct and Overlapping Debt <br> As of June 30, 2017

| Overlapping District Name |  | tstanding Net roperty Tax acked Debt ${ }^{1}$ | Percent Overlapping ${ }^{2}$ |  | Net Overlapping Debt ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burlington Water District | \$ | 1,370,776 | 100.00\% | \$ | 1,370,776 |
| City of Beaverton |  | 34,567,076 | 1.75 |  | 605,546 |
| City of Fairview |  | 526,335 | 100.00 |  | 526,335 |
| City of Gresham |  | 29,074,875 | 100.00 |  | 29,074,875 |
| City of Happy Valley |  | 3,300,000 | 1.88 |  | 61,915 |
| City of Lake Oswego |  | 9,190,000 | 5.02 |  | 460,878 |
| City of Milwaukee |  | 13,943,244 | 0.71 |  | 98,328 |
| City of Portland |  | 155,468,265 | 99.67 |  | 154,958,795 |
| City of Troutdale |  | 7,813,000 | 100.00 |  | 7,813,000 |
| Clackamas Community College |  | 102,608,913 | 0.00 |  | 3,181 |
| Clackamas County |  | 155,330,136 | 3.25 |  | 5,052,579 |
| Clackamas Cty RFPD 1 |  | 26,870,000 | 0.52 |  | 138,918 |
| Clackamas Cty SD 7J (Lake Oswego) |  | 90,618,476 | 0.01 |  | 8,790 |
| Corbett Water District |  | 1,007,624 | 100.00 |  | 1,007,624 |
| Lusted Water District |  | 730,000 | 100.00 |  | 730,000 |
| Metro |  | 183,510,000 | 52.62 |  | 96,556,723 |
| Mt Hood Community College |  | 23,735,000 | 88.66 |  | 20,600,128 |
| Multnomah County |  | 143,743,241 | 99.52 |  | 143,060,173 |
| Multnomah Cty Drainage District 1 |  | 50,000 | 100.00 |  | 50,000 |
| Multnomah Cty RFPD 10 |  | 3,560,538 | 100.00 |  | 3,560,538 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 10J (Gresham-Barlow) |  | 310,047,960 | 100.00 |  | 310,047,960 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 1J (Portland) |  | 665,077,068 | 100.00 |  | 665,077,068 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 28J (Centennial) |  | 22,251,858 | 100.00 |  | 22,251,858 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 3 (Parkrose) |  | 56,902,143 | 100.00 |  | 56,902,143 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 39 (Corbett) |  | 1,926,944 | 100.00 |  | 1,926,944 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 40 (David Douglas) |  | 85,332,481 | 100.00 |  | 85,332,481 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 51J (Riverdale) |  | 18,249,889 | 100.00 |  | 18,249,889 |
| Multnomah Cty SD 7 (Reynolds) |  | 211,095,539 | 100.00 |  | 211,095,539 |
| Pleasant Home Water District |  | 1,575,000 | 94.73 |  | 1,492,001 |
| Portland Community College |  | 302,090,000 | 50.57 |  | 152,776,882 |
| Tualatin Hills Park \& Rec District |  | 82,524,818 | 1.24 |  | 1,023,720 |
| Tualatin Valley Fire \& Rescue District |  | 48,820,000 | 2.01 |  | 982,844 |
| Valley View Water District |  | 1,621,692 | 100.00 |  | 1,621,692 |
| Washington County |  | 232,130,277 | 0.62 |  | 1,446,172 |
| Washington Cty Enhanced Patrol Dist. |  | 75,877 | 0.67 |  | 505 |
| Subtotal, overlapping debt | \$ | 3,026,739,045 |  |  | 1,995,966,800 |
| Direct District limited tax pension obligation (PERS) ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  | 28,200,000 |
| Total direct and overlapping debt |  |  |  | \$ | 2,024,166,800 |

[^10]
# Multnomah Education Service District Demographic and Economic Statistics <br> <br> Last Ten Years 

 <br> <br> Last Ten Years}

| Year | Population | Personal Income (thousands) | Per Capita <br> Income | Unemployment Rate (June) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multnomah County |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 712,989 | \$ 30,607,278 | \$ 42,928 | 5.5 \% |
| 2009 | 727,721 | 28,872,339 | 39,675 | 11.4 |
| 2010 | 737,320 | 29,572,964 | 40,109 | 9.8 |
| 2011 | 748,145 | 31,296,864 | 41,833 | 8.5 |
| 2012 | 759,181 | 33,288,008 | 43,847 | 7.7 |
| 2013 | 766,589 | 34,284,408 | 44,723 | 7.0 |
| 2014 | 777,880 | 36,665,814 | 47,136 | 6.0 |
| 2015 | 790,294 | 38,906,295 | 49,230 | 5.2 |
| 2016 | 790,670 | * | * | 4.7 |
| 2017 | * | * | * | 3.6 |

## Clackamas County

| 2008 | 371,103 | $\$ 16,310,770$ | $\$$ | 43,952 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 374,085 | $15,485,121$ | 41,395 | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2010 | 376,906 | $15,759,185$ | 41,812 | 10.1 |
| 2011 | 379,670 | $16,659,841$ | 43,880 | 9.1 |
| 2012 | 383,389 | $17,811,472$ | 46,458 | 8.2 |
| 2013 | 388,111 | $17,863,812$ | 46,028 | 7.3 |
| 2014 | 394,504 | $18,724,587$ | 47,464 | 6.3 |
| 2015 | 401,515 | $19,901,153$ | 49,565 | 5.5 |
| 2016 | 404,980 | $*$ | $*$ | 5.0 |
| 2017 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 3.8 |

Washington County

| 2008 | 515,815 | $\$ 22,405,740$ | $\$$ | 43,438 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | 524,699 | $21,553,989$ | 41,079 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 2010 | 531,658 | $21,771,434$ | 40,950 | 9.3 |
| 2011 | 539,860 | $23,759,750$ | 44,011 | 7.9 |
| 2012 | 547,932 | $25,483,103$ | 46,508 | 7.3 |
| 2013 | 555,661 | $25,628,991$ | 46,123 | 6.6 |
| 2014 | 563,273 | $27,911,782$ | 49,553 | 5.8 |
| 2015 | 574,326 | $29,812,561$ | 51,909 | 5.0 |
| 2016 | 583,595 | $*$ | $*$ | 4.7 |
| 2017 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 3.5 |

* Data unavailable at time of print.

Sources:
2008-2015 population: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis report CA1-3 (last updated November 17, 2016) www.bea.gov 2016 population: Portland State University, Population and Research Center 2016 Annual Population Report - Table 4 Income: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis report CA1-3 (last updated November 17, 2016) www.bea.gov Unemployment rates: Oregon Employment Department (qualityinfo.org) as of August 25, 2017

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Principal Employers <br> Portland Metro Area <br> Current Year and Nine Years Prior 

| Top Ten Principal Employers | 2017 |  |  | 2008 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employees | Rank | Percentage of Total <br> Employment | Employees | Rank | Percentage of Total <br> Employment |
| Intel Corporation | 19,300 | 1 | 1.6 \% | 15,500 | 1 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Providence Health System | 17,543 | 2 | 1.5 | 12,000 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Oregon Health and Science University | 16,200 | 3 | 1.4 | 12,600 | 3 | 1.2 |
| Legacy Health System | 12,955 | 4 | 1.1 | 8,251 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Nike, Inc. | 12,000 | 5 | 1.0 | 7,000 | 7 | 0.7 |
| Fred Meyer Stores | 10,637 | 6 | 0.9 | 14,684 | 2 | 1.4 |
| City of Portland | 7,043 | 7 | 0.6 | 5,587 | 10 | 0.5 |
| Portland Public Schools | 6,780 | 8 | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| Multnomah County | 6,266 | 9 | 0.5 | 5,640 | 9 |  |
| Beaverton School District | 5,207 | 10 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
| Kaiser Foundation Health Plan |  |  |  | 9,000 | 5 | 0.9 |
| Wells Fargo \& Co |  |  |  | 5,969 | 8 | 0.6 |
| All other employers | 1,069,869 |  | 90.4 | 954,369 |  | 90.8 |
| Total Employment - PMSA* | $\underline{\text { 1,183,800 }}$ |  |  | 1,050,600 |  |  |

[^11]
## Multnomah Education Service District Licensed, Classified and Administrative Employees Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Fiscal Year Ending | Licensed | Classified | Administration | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | 331 | 348 | 49 | 728 |
| 2009 | 277 | 356 | 50 | 683 |
| 2010 | 281 | 341 | 48 | 670 |
| 2011 | 289 | 313 | 45 | 647 |
| 2012 | 288 | 292 | 44 | 624 |
| 2013 | 316 | 299 | 35 | 650 |
| 2014 | 150 | 242 | 31 | 423 |
| 2015 | 136 | 214 | 32 | 382 |
| 2016 | 142 | 209 | 30 | 381 |
| 2017 | 142 | 280 | 35 | 457 |

[^12]
# Multnomah Education Service District School District Participation in MESD Programs and Services Last Ten Fiscal Years 

| Fiscal Year <br> Ending | Special <br> Education <br> Services | Instructional <br> Services |  <br> Social <br> Services |  | Tener Support <br> Other <br> Services* |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

# Multnomah Education Service District <br> Schools, Sites, and Offices 

| Building Name | Square <br> Footage | Owned or Leased | Programs/Services Offered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Owned/Leased Sites: <br> Ainsworth Building <br> 11611 NE Ainsworth Circle, Portland, OR 97220 | 60,000 | Owned | Houses central administration, department and program offices; technology services; facilities services. |
| Burlingame Creek School 876 NE 8th St, Gresham, OR 97030 | 18,000 | Owned | Houses classrooms for high school age students with social/emotional disabilities. |
| Arata Creek School Edgefield Regional Children's Campus 2408 SW Halsey St, Troutdale, OR 97060 | 14,000 | Owned | Houses four classrooms for students with social/emotional disabilities; one classroom for students with developmental disabilities. |
| Helensview School 8678 NE Sumner St, Portland, OR 97220 | 40,000 | Leased | Houses programs for students who are pregnant and/or parenting; who have left traditional education or are at risk for dropping out; for students on probation, parole or returning from juvenile or adult correctional facilities. |
| Wheatley School (formerly Thompson School) 14030 NE Sacramento St, Portland, OR 97230 | 40,000 | Leased | Provides post-secondary education for students with significant disabilities. |
| Additional Classroom Sites: Donald E. Long Program 1401 NE 68th Ave, Portland, OR 97213 |  |  | Provides educational and social skills for students awaiting trials and hearings. |
| Wynne Watts School 830 NE 162nd Ave, Portland, OR 97230 |  |  | Provides educational programs for students with mental health and behavioral challenges. |
| Ocean Dunes High School 4859 S Jetty Road, Florence, OR 97439 |  |  | Provides educational services to young men who are incarcerated. |
| Three Lakes \& Riverside High Schools 4400 Lochner Road SE, Albany, OR 97322 |  |  | Provides educational services to young women who are incarcerated. |
| Yamhill County Juvenile Detention 535 E Fifth St, McMinnville, OR 97128 |  |  | Provides educational services to youth who are incarcerated. |
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REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors<br>Multnomah Education Service District<br>Portland, Oregon

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Multnomah Education Service District, Portland, Oregon (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the basic financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2017.

## INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (Continued)

## COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


Lake Oswego, Oregon
November 21, 2017

Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, LLP

Certified Public Accountants \& Consultants

4800 Meadows Road Suite 200
Lake Oswego, OR 97035
P 503.274.2849
F 503.274.2853
www.tkw.com

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE 

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors
Multnomah Education Service District
Portland, Oregon

## REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

We have audited the Multnomah Education Service District, Portland, Oregon (the District's) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The District's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Independent Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

## MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

## AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE (Continued) 

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (Continued)

## OPINION ON EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

## REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a material weakness.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE (Continued)

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (Continued)

## REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

## Talbot, Kowda \& Warwick LLP

Lake Oswego, Oregon
November 21, 2017

# Multnomah Education Service District Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 

For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

| FEDERAL GRANTOR <br> Federal Program/cluster and Program Title | Federal <br> CFDA <br> - Number | Grantor or <br> Pass-Thru <br> Number | Grant Period | Grant <br> Award |  | al Year nditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed through Oregon Department of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child Nutrition Cluster |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National School Breakfast Program | 10.553 | NA | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | \$ | \$ | 53,347 |
| National School Lunch Program | 10.555 | NA | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | - |  | 111,495 |
|  |  |  | Cluster Total | - |  | 164,842 |
|  | US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE TOTAL |  |  | - |  | 164,842 |
| US DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRIO Cluster |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRIO - Talent Search | 84.044 | P044A120052 | 09/01/12-08/31/17 | 1,150,000 |  | 235,425 |
|  |  |  | Cluster Total | 1,150,000 |  | 235,425 |
| Passed through Oregon Department of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant Education - State Grant Program (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant Education - State Grant Program | 84.011 | 37720 | 07/01/15-09/30/16 | 235,985 |  | 1,817 |
|  |  | 37739 | 07/01/15-09/30/16 | 15,902 |  | 10,938 |
|  |  | 40016 | 04/15/16-09/30/16 | 83,105 |  | 67,372 |
|  |  | 41221 | 07/01/16-09/30/17 | 215,630 |  | 215,625 |
|  |  | 41240 | 07/01/16-09/30/17 | 11,851 |  | 4,695 |
|  |  | 43683 | 04/01/17-09/30/17 | 75,299 |  | 11,655 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 637,772 |  | 312,102 |
| Migrant Education - Coordination Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant Education - Coordination Program | 84.144 | 44038 | 06/01/17-09/30/17 | 1,600 |  | 1,600 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 1,600 |  | 1,600 |
| Title I Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Title I State Agency Program for Neglected and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delinquent Children and Youth | 84.013 | 42048 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 3,760 |  | 3,760 |
|  |  | 42049 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 65,441 |  | 65,441 |
|  |  | 42099 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 35,601 |  | 35,601 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 104,802 |  | 104,802 |
| Special Education Cluster (IDEA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education - Grants to States (IDEA, Part B) | 84.027 | 38378 | 10/01/15-09/30/16 | 2,631 |  | 2,631 |
|  |  | 40895,40897 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 2,350 |  | 2,121 |
|  |  | 40896 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 1,046 |  | 1,046 |
|  |  | 41987 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 5,113 |  | 5,113 |
|  |  | 42040 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 29,885 |  | 29,885 |
|  |  | 42060 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 13,959 |  | 13,959 |
|  |  | 42061 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 3,221 |  | 3,221 |
|  |  | 42076 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 17,901 |  | 17,901 |
|  |  | 42702 | 10/01/16-09/30/17 | 2,652 |  | - |
|  |  | 43209 | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 2,115 |  | 2,090 |
|  |  |  | Cluster Total | 80,873 |  | 77,967 |

## Multnomah Education Service District Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 (continued)

| FEDERAL GRANTOR <br> Federal Program/cluster and Program Title | Federal <br> CFDA <br> . Number | Grantor or Pass-Thru Number | Grant Period | Grant Award | Fiscal Year <br> . Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vocational Rehabilitation Cluster <br> Rehabilitation Services-Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States | 84.126 | 10202 | $07 / 01 / 16-06 / 30 / 17$ | 250,000 | 191,112 |
|  |  |  | Cluster Total | 250,000 | 191,112 |
| Special Education - State Personnel Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education - State Personnel Development | 84.323 | 37326 | 08/01/15-07/30/16 | 6,725 | - |
|  |  | 38776 | 09/01/15-08/30/16 | 96,811 | 5,392 |
|  |  | 43410 | 01/02/17-08/31/17 | 3,428 | 3,428 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 106,964 | 8,820 |
| Passed through ODE via Linn Benton Community College |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career and Technical Education <br> Career and Technical Education - Basic Grants to States (Perkins IV) | 84.048 | LBCC | 07/01/16-06/30/17 | 8,086 | 5,268 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 8,086 | 5,268 |
| Passed through ODE via Portland Community College |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Career and Technical Education - National Grants | 84.051 | C02658 | 07/01/16-06/30/19 | 207,060 | 43,343 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 207,060 | 43,343 |
| Passed through ODE via University of Oregon |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education_Technical Assistance and Dissemination to |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities Project STAY OUT: Strategies Teaching Adolescent |  |  |  |  |  |
| Young Offenders with Disabilities to Use Transition Skills | 84.326 | C01603 | 07/01/13-12/31/17 | 160,000 | 36,075 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 160,000 | 36,075 |
|  | US DEPAR | MENT OF E | UCATION TOTAL | 2,707,157 | 1,016,514 |
| US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passed through Oregon Department of Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Allocation to States |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.540 | 41812 | 09/01/16-09/30/16 | 4,662 | 4,662 |
|  |  | 43131 | 12/01/16-11/30/17 | 100,000 | 5,411 |
|  |  |  | Program Total | 104,662 | 10,073 |
|  | US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE TOTAL |  |  | 104,662 | 10,073 |
| FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE GRAND TOTAL |  |  |  | \$ 2,811,819 | \$ 1,191,429 |

(1) Indicates a major program

See notes to the schedule of expenditure of federal awards.

Multnomah Education Service District<br>Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards<br>Year Ended June 30, 2017

## NOTE I - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the District under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the District, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position or changes in net assets of the District.

## NOTE II - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

## NOTE III - INDIRECT COST RATE

The District has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

## NOTE IV - SUBRECIPIENTS

The District does not pass-through federal awards to any subrecipients.

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

## YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

## SECTION I - SUMMARY OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S RESULTS

## Financial Statements:

Type of auditor's report issued:
Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:
Material weakness(es) identified?
Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?
$\qquad$ No $X$
$\qquad$ No X
Yes $\qquad$ No X

## Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:
Material weakness(es) identified?
Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?

Yes $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Uniform Guidance?

Yes $\qquad$
No $\qquad$

Identification of major programs:

CFDA NUMBER
84.011

NAME OF PROGRAM OR CLUSTER
Migrant Education - State Grant Program

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs
\$ 750,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?
Yes X
No $\qquad$

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

## SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

No financial statement findings for the year ended June 30, 2017.

## SECTION III - FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

No findings or questioned costs for federal awards for the year ended June 30, 2017.

# MULTNOMAH EDUCATION SERVICE DISTRICT 

Portland, Oregon

## SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDING

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

## Finding 2016-001

Audit Finding:
Per the OMB Circular A-133 Subpart C section 300 paragraph (b), the auditee shall "maintain internal control over Federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that the auditee is managing Federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements that could have a material effect on each of its Federal programs."

Per 7 CFR 245.3 (b), "Each participating local educational agency and all participating schools under its jurisdiction must adhere to the eligibility criteria specified in this part. Local educational agencies must include these eligibility criteria in their policy statement as required under § 245.10 and it must be publicly announced in accordance with the provisions of $\S 245.5$. Additionally, each State agency, or FNSRO where applicable, must require that local educational agencies accept as income eligible for free meals and free milk, children who are categorically eligible for those benefits based on documentation of eligibility, as specified in § 245.6 (b)."

Controls over review of eligibility determinations were either not implemented or not successful in identifying inaccurate eligibility determinations under the Child Nutrition Cluster.

The District inappropriately requested reimbursements for children who were found to be ineligible for the free or reduced program and requested the incorrect amount for students that were determined to qualify for free meals, but in actuality qualified for reduced meals.

The District does not have procedures and internal controls in place that enforce the review of applications.

Corrective Action Taken:

Beginning in July 2016, application processing is centralized to the Business Office to ensure consistent review and monitoring. On June 22, 2016, the District entered into a contract with the CLM Group to utilize MealTime mPower Central Management for five of our sites that serve meals to students during the 2016-2017 school year. This will help centralize processes and increase accuracy in eligibility determination, review, and reporting. School staff training was held on August 26, 2016 for the new MealTime software and for discussion and distribution of a new procedural Child Nutrition Program guide.
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# OTHER INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY THE STATE OF OREGON 



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OREGON STATE REGULATIONS 

Board of Directors<br>Multnomah Education Service District<br>Portland, Oregon

We have audited the basic financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Multnomah Education Service District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2017. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial statement audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the provisions of the Minimum Standards of Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations, prescribed by the Secretary of State.

## COMPLIANCE

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, including the provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes as specified in the Oregon Administrative Rules 162-10-000 through 162-10-330 of the Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

We performed procedures to the extent we considered necessary to address the required comments and disclosures which included, but were not limited to the following:

- Deposits of public funds with financial institutions (ORS Chapter 295).
- Indebtedness limitations, restrictions, and repayment.
- Budgets legally required (ORS Chapter 294).
- Insurance and fidelity bonds in force or required by law.
- Programs funded from outside sources.
- Authorized investment of surplus funds. (ORS Chapter 294).
- Public contracts and purchasing (ORS Chapters 279A, 279B, and 279C).
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## COMPLIANCE (Continued)

In connection with our testing, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District was not in substantial compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, including the provisions of Oregon Revised Statutes as specified in the Oregon Administrative Rules 162-10-000 through 162-10-330 of the Minimum Standards for Audits of Oregon Municipal Corporations.

## OAR 162-10-0230 INTERNAL CONTROL

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, Oregon Secretary of State Audits Division, and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

## Talbot, Kowda \& Warwick LLP

## Lake Oswego, OR

November 21, 2017


[^0]:    *10-year trend information will be presented as it becomes available.

[^1]:    * Intrafund transfers between Operating, Risk Management Reserve, and Facilities \& Equipment Reserve funds have been excluded

[^2]:    *The Oregon Association of Education Service Districts (OAESD) transferred fiscal agent responsibilities to the Willamette Education Service District in September 2016.

[^3]:    ODE General Fund (Resolution Services, Operating, Risk Management and Facilities \& Equipment Reserve Funds), continued Support Services, continued

    2640 - Staff Services
    2660 - Technology Services
    Total Support Services
    Enterprise \& Community Service
    3100 - Food Services
    3300 - Community Serv
    3300 - Community Services
    Total Enterprise \& Community Service

    ## Other Uses 5300 - ESD Appropriation Transfers Out 5200 - Fund Transfer <br> Total ODE General Fund

[^4]:    

[^5]:    

[^6]:    ${ }^{1} 10$ years will be presented as information becomes available.

[^7]:    *Permanent tax rates are the primary factor in determining tax burdens. Districts can opt to extend rates lower than their permanent rate. This schedule reports the actual tax rate extended for operations.
    Source: Tax Supervising \& Conservation Commission (TSCC) Annual Reports (tsccmultco.com)

[^8]:    Note: Collections include discounts, adjustment, and interest.

[^9]:    * Information not provided at this time.

[^10]:    1 "Net Property Tax Backed Debt" is Gross Property Tax Backed Debt less Self-supporting Unlimited Tax GO and less Self-Supporting Full Faith \& Credit debt.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percent Overlapping and Net Overlapping Debt is calculated by the Oregon State Treasury, Debt Management Division.
    ${ }^{3}$ District debt is net of unamortized premiums and discounts.

[^11]:    * The Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro MSA includes all of Clackamas, Columbia, Multnomah, Washington and Yamhill counties in Oregon and Clark and Skamania counties in Washington State.

    Sources:
    Top Largest Employers: Portland Business Journal (portlandbizjournal.com) Published July 2017 and December 2008
    Total Employment: Oregon Employment Department, WorkSource Oregon (qualityinfo.org)

[^12]:    Note: Number reflects total headcount of permanent full-time and part-time employees.

